Coulomb explosion of CS₂ molecule under an intense femtosecond laser field*

Xiao Wang(王潇)¹, Jian Zhang(张健)¹, Shi-An Zhang(张诗按)^{1,2,†}, and Zhen-Rong Sun(孙真荣)¹

¹ State Key Laboratory of Precision Spectroscopy, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China ² NYU-ECNU Institute of Physics at NYU Shanghai, Shanghai 200062, China

(Received 3 November 2015; revised manuscript received 16 January 2016; published online 5 April 2016)

We experimentally demonstrate the Coulomb explosion process of CS₂ molecule under a near-infrared (800 nm) intense femtosecond laser field by a DC-sliced ion imaging technique. We obtain the DC-sliced images of these fragment ions S⁺, S²⁺, CS⁺, and CS²⁺ by breaking one C–S bond, and assign their Coulomb explosion channels by considering their kinetic energy release and angular distribution. We also numerically simulate the dissociation dynamics of parent ions $CS_2^{k^+}$ (k = 2-4) by a Coulomb potential approximation, and obtain the time evolution of Coulomb energy and kinetic energy release, which indicates that the dissociation time of parent ions $CS_2^{k^+}$ decreases with the increase of the charge number k. These experimental and theoretical results can serve as a useful benchmark for those researchers who work in the related area.

Keywords: Coulomb explosion, molecular photodissociation, femtosecond laser field

PACS: 33.80.Gj, 34.20.Gj, 42.50.Hz

1. Introduction

With the dramatic development of ultrashort laser technique in the past few decades, the ultrashort intense laser field has brought out some unprecedented physical phenomena, such as multi-photon ionization (MPI),^[1-3] enhanced ionization (EI) or charge-resonance enhanced ionization (CERI),^[4-9] above-threshold ionization (ATI),^[10] dissociative ionization,^[11-14] Coulomb explosion (CE),^[15-23] and so on. As is well known, when the laser intensity is up to 10^{14} W/cm², the laser field magnitude is comparable to the Coulomb field generated by an atomic nucleus, the molecular geometry structure can be modified, and thus the molecular chemical bond will be broken by the strong Coulomb repulsive force. Several experimental results indicated that the chemical bond will be stretched from the equilibrium nuclear distance $(R_{\rm e})$ in the neutral molecule to a critical distance $(R_{\rm c})$, and the CE process occurs at this critical distance.^[6,23-28] Schmidt et al.^[29] explained this phenomenon on the basis of a laserinduced stabilization, and found that the bond elongation is mainly determined by the molecule itself. Based on this viewpoint, Corkum et al.^[4] proposed a theoretical model of laserinduced electron localization enhanced ionization, which concluded that the ionization of the parent ion will be dramatically enhanced around the critical distance R_c since the electron can directly tunnel through the narrow internal barrier to the continuum. Similarly, Bandrauk et al.^[5,6] presented a charge resonance enhanced ionization model, and showed that the enhanced ionization probability at the critical distance R_c is atDOI: 10.1088/1674-1056/25/5/053301

tributed to the transitions between a pair of charge-resonant states that are strongly coupled to the laser field.

As a prototypical linear triatomic molecule, the photoionization and photodissociation of CO2 and CS2 molecules under the intense femtosecond laser fields have been extensively studied. As a representative study of the CO₂ molecule, Wu et al.^[30,31] studied the three-body fragmentation dynamics of CO2 molecule in intense laser fields by using a triple ion coincidence technique, and showed that the geometric structure of CO_2^{n+} (n = 3-6) before fragmentation is close to that of neutral CO₂, and both the sequential and non-sequential fragment processes can occur in the parent ions CO_2^{3+} , while the parent ions CO_2^{n+} (n = 4-6) can only produce the non-sequential fragment process. In the studies of the CS2 molecule, Graham et al.^[32] measured the angular distributions of those fragment ions from the CE process of parent ions, and found that the distribution of fragment ions S^{m^+} is perpendicular to that of fragment ions C^{n^+} . Mathur *et al.*^[33] defined CS_2 molecule as the boundary between a "heavy" molecule (like I2 or its derivatives) and a "light" molecule (like H₂ or N₂), and showed that the geometric alignment mechanism dominates for the 100-fs laser pulses, while dynamic alignment occurs for the 35-ps laser pulses. Yamanouchi et al.[18-20] utilized a triple coincidence momentum imaging technique to study both sequential and non-sequential three-body CE processes, i.e., $CS_2^{3+} \rightarrow S^+ + C^+ + S^+$, and demonstrated that the significant structural deformation occurs for CS_2^{3+} along both bending and stretching coordinates. In previous studies, this coin-

*Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 51132004 and 11474096), and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality, China (Grant No. 14JC1401500). We acknowledge the support of the NYU-ECNU Institute of Physics at NYU Shanghai.

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail: sazhang@phy.ecnu.eddu.cn

© 2016 Chinese Physical Society and IOP Publishing Ltd

cidence measurement technique can identify a specific single event of Coulomb explosion from a single parent ion, but it has relatively high requirement for the chamber vacuum pressure and sample vapor temperature. Recently, the DC-sliced ion imaging technique has shown to be a well-established tool to study the molecular photoionization and photodissociation process, where both the speed and angular distributions of the produced ions can be directly measured without any mathematical transformation, and so can provide a more intuitive method. In this paper, we experimentally investigate the CE process of CS2 molecule under an intense near-infrared (800 nm) femtosecond laser field by the DC-sliced ion imaging technique. The DC-sliced images of four ions S^+ , S^{2+} , CS^+ , and CS^{2+} by breaking one C–S bond are measured, and their Coulomb explosion channels are assigned by calculating kinetic energy release (KER) and their angular distributions are extracted from the DC-sliced images. The dissociation dynamics of parent ions $CS_2^{k^+}$ (k = 2,3,4) is numerically simulated by using a Coulomb energy approximation, and it is shown that the dissociation time decreases when the charge number increases.

2. Experimental setup

Details of our home-built DC-sliced ion imaging system have been described in our earlier publication,^[27] and only a brief description is given here. The CS₂ sample (99.9% purity) carried by 1 atm helium gas is expanded adiabatically into the source chamber through a pulsed valve with the repetition rate of 100 Hz, and then skimmed into the high-vacuum main chamber with a base pressure of $\sim 10^{-8}$ mbar. The multistage ion lens in the main chamber has a similar configuration described by Suits et al.,^[34] and the optimized voltages applied on the electrodes are $U_{\text{Repeller}} = 2000 \text{ V}, U_1 = 1760 \text{ V},$ $U_2 = 1660$ V, and $U_3 = 0$ V. The super-sonic molecular beam interacts with the intense femtosecond laser field in the main chamber, and the fragment ions are first accelerated by a multi-lens velocity mapping apparatus and then fly freely to a dual micro-channel plate (MCP) coupled with a P47 phosphor screen. The sliced images of the fragment ions are obtained with an intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera, and the time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectra are achieved by a photomultiplier tube (PMT) connected to a digital oscilloscope. All the timing sequence control is implemented by a digital delay pulse generator (DG535 Stanford Research System).

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows two typical TOF mass spectra of CS_2 molecule irradiated by the 800-nm femtosecond laser field with a laser central wavelength of 800 nm and the pulse duration of 70 fs for the laser intensity of 4.9×10^{13} W/cm²

(see Fig. 1(a)) and 1.3×10^{14} W/cm² (see Fig. 1(b)). In our experiment, the laser polarization direction is always perpendicular to the TOF axis. When the laser intensity is set at 4.9×10^{13} W/cm², as shown in Fig. 1(a), these fragment ions C⁺, S^{m^+} (m = 1-3), CS⁺, CS⁺, and CS²⁺ can be observed, which indicates that the two C-S bonds can be broken in this lower laser intensity. When the laser intensity is increased to 1.3×10^{14} W/cm², as shown in Fig. 1(b), some highly charged fragment ions C^{2+} , C^{3+} and S^{4+} appear, which shows that the appearance potential of fragment ion C^{m^+} is higher than that of fragment ion S^{m^+} with the same charge number. Generally, the generation of highly charged ions (such as C^{2+} , C^{3+} , S^{2+} , S^{3+} , S^{4+}) indicates the participation of the CE processes in our experiment. In addition to the two parent ions CS_2^+ and CS_2^{2+} , no other multiply charged parent ions are observed at the full range of our laser intensities, which may be due to the instability of the highly charged parent ions. In this work, we aim to explore the formation mechanism of fragment ions S⁺, S^{2+} , CS^+ , and CS^{2+} by breaking one C–S bond.



Fig. 1. (color online) The TOF mass spectra of CS_2 molecule irradiated by 800 nm femtosecond laser pulses with a laser intensity of 4.9×10^{13} (a) and 1.3×10^{14} W/cm² (b).

When the polyatomic molecules are subjected to an intense femtosecond laser field, the fragment ions can be produced from different photoionization and photodissociation channels. With the help of DC-sliced image technique, we can clearly discriminate these different dissociative ionization channels of the fragment ions with same mass-to-charge ratio. Figure 2 presents the pseudo-color DC-sliced images of fragment ions S^+ , S^{2+} , CS^+ , and CS^{2+} . One can see that each fragment ion involves more than one dissociative ionization channel. Usually, the fragment ions with high KER should result from the CE process, while those with low KER should result from the multi-photon dissociative ionization process. Here we focus on the CE process of the four fragment ions. As is well known, in the two-body CE model, the two partner ions should meet with the momentum conservation condition. In other words, the KERs of the two fragments should satisfy

the following relationship:^[27,35,36]

$$\frac{\operatorname{KER}(X^{p+})}{\operatorname{KER}(Y^{q+})} = \frac{M(Y^{q+})}{M(X^{p+})},\tag{1}$$

where *X* and *Y* represent the partner fragment ions, *M* is the mass of corresponding fragment ions, and *p*, *q* are charge numbers of the two fragment ions. Figure 3 shows the velocity distributions of the four fragment ions S^+ , S^{2+} , CS^+ , and CS^{2+} , and the calculated kinetic energies of these different peaks are also labeled. In order to facilitate the discussion below, these peaks from low to high kinetic energy are respectively labeled with the symbols P_x (x = 1–3). According to Eq. (1), it can be deduced that P_1 peak in the fragment ion S^+ and P_2 peak in the fragment ion CS^+ should come from the two-body CE process,

$$(1,1): CS_2^{2+} \to S^+ + CS^+ (3.84 \text{ eV}).$$
 (2)

Applying the same method, P_3 peak in the fragment ion S^+ and P_2 peak in the fragment ion CS^{2+} can be assigned to the two-body CE process,

$$(1,2): CS_2^{3+} \to S^+ + CS^{2+}$$
 (7.44 eV), (3)

while the P_1 peak in the fragment ion S^{2+} and P_3 peak in the fragment ion CS^+ can be attributed to such a two-body CE process,

$$(2,1): \mathbf{CS}_2^{3+} \to \mathbf{S}^{2+} + \mathbf{CS}^+ \ (7.86 \text{ eV}). \tag{4}$$

Similarly, the P_2 peak in the fragment ion S^{2+} and P_3 peak in the fragment ion CS^{2+} can be verified as the two-body CE process below,

$$(2,2): CS_2^{4+} \to S^{2+} + CS^{2+} \ (13.75 \ eV). \eqno(5)$$

However, we cannot find a corresponding peak in Fig. 3 to match P_3 peak in fragment ion S^{2+} , whose partner ion might experience further dissociation or CE process.



Fig. 2. (color online) The pseudo-color DC sliced images of fragment ions S⁺ (a), S²⁺ (b), CS⁺ (c), and CS²⁺ (d) with the laser intensity of 1.5×10^{14} W/cm².

Table 1 lists the mass ratio $M(CS^{q^+})/M(S^{p^+})$, KER ratio $(S^{p^+})/KER(CS^{q^+})$, and relative experimental error Δ . Considering the experimental condition and data processing, if the experimental error Δ is less than 5%, the above listed channel assignments are considered to be correct. As can be seen, both the channels (1,2) and (2,1) result from the CE process of parent ion CS_2^{3+} , but their total KERs are different, which can come from the different precursor states. In addition, there is a spot in the central position for the fragment ions S⁺ and CS⁺, which can be assigned to the (1,0) and (0,1) dissociative ionization channels of parent ion CS_2^{+} . Here, we do not discuss this dissociation process since our focus is the CE process.

Table 1. Mass ratio $\frac{M(CS^{q+})}{M(S^{p+})}$ (Ratio1), KER ratio $\frac{KER(S^{p+})}{KER(CS^{q+})}$ (Ratio2), and relative error $\Delta = \left|\frac{Ratio2-Ratio1}{Ratio1}\right|$ for the four CE channels.

Channels	$E_{\rm total}/{\rm eV}$	$R_{\rm c}/{\rm \AA}$	Ratio 1	Ratio 2	Δ
(1,1)	3.84	3.75	1.38	1.37	0.7%
(1, 2)	7.44	3.87	1.38	1.44	4.3%
(2, 1)	7.86	3.66	1.38	1.41	2.2%
(2,2)	13.75	4.19	1.38	1.33	3.6%



Fig. 3. (color online) The velocity distributions of fragment ions S^+ (a), S^{2+} (b), CS^+ (c), and CS^{2+} (d). The red circles are the experimental data, and the blue solid lines are the simulated results by the multiple Gaussian functions.

In addition to the KER distribution, the angular distribution of fragment ions also plays an important role in assigning the dissociation channels. The two fragment ions from the same dissociation channel should have the similar angular distribution. Figure 4 presents the angular distributions of four pairs of fragment ions mentioned above. As expected, each pair of fragment ions has a similar angular distribution, which can further verify the above CE channel assignments. Furthermore, one can see that the fragment ions that result from the dissociation process of the higher parent ions will show a narrower angular distribution.



Fig. 4. (color online) The angular distributions of the two fragment ions that correspond to the four CE channels (1, 1) (a), (1,2) (b), (2,1) (c), and (2,2) (d).

It has been proved that the total KER E_{total} from the CE process and the critical distance R_{c} should satisfy the following relationship:^[23,26]

$$E_{\text{total}} = 14.4 \frac{pq}{R_{\text{c}}}.$$
 (6)

The calculated R_c values for the four channels are also shown in Table 1. It can be found that the chemical bond fusion in all these channels takes place at the critical distance R_c between 3.66 and 4.19 Å, which is about 2.3–2.7 times longer than the equilibrium distance of C–S bond (1.56 Å). It is noted that the bond elongation is larger for the parent ion with a larger charge number, and this phenomenon has been observed in diatomic and triatomic molecules.^[37–41] The bond elongation has been explained by an enhanced ionization at a critical distance R_c where the ionization rate would be greatly enhanced, and the intense Coulomb repulsive energy will lead to the dramatic fragmentation process by converting the Coulomb energy into the KERs of the two separated fragment ions.^[4–6]

To better understand this energy conversion process, we use a Coulomb potential approximation to theoretically simulate the dissociation process of parent ions, and here the doubly charged parent ion CS_2^{2+} is used as an example for detailed illustration. Assuming that the initial momentum of fragment ions S⁺ and CS⁺ are both zero, the critical distance R_c of parent ion CS_2^{2+} is known as 3.75 Å, and the two fragment ions S⁺ and CS⁺ move along the Coulomb potential. Here, we introduce the Hamiltonian in the center-of-mass coordinate system as follows:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} m_i |\dot{\mathbf{r}}_i|^2 + \frac{Ke^2}{|\mathbf{r}_{12}|},$$
(7)

where $K = 1/4\pi\varepsilon_0$, and subscript 1 and 2 represent the two fragment ions S⁺ and CS⁺, respectively. By simplifying, equation (7) can be further written as follows:^[28]

$$\ddot{x}_1 = \frac{Ke^2m_2}{m_1(m_1 + m_2)x_1^2}$$

$$\ddot{x}_2 = -\frac{Ke^2m_1}{m_2(m_1 + m_2)x_2^2},\tag{8}$$

with the initial conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(0) &= \frac{R_c m_2}{m_1 + m_2}, \ x_2(0) &= -\frac{R_c m_1}{m_1 + m_2} \\ \dot{x}_1(0) &= \dot{x}_2(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In our simulation, equation (8) is solved numerically, and the time evolution of Coulomb energy and KERs in the above four channels can be obtained, as shown in Fig. 5. Obviously, the Coulomb energy dramatically decreases while KER accordingly increases for all these channels. In order to intuitively understand the energy conversion, the time requirements for different percentages of Coulomb energy to KERs in each channel are listed in Table 2. We assume that the time requirement for the energy conversion percentage of 90% is defined as the dissociation time of the parent ion,^[28] which means that the C-S bond is completely broken and the parent ion $CS_2^{k^+}$ dissociates into two fragment ions S^{p^+} and CS^{q^+} within that time, and thus the dissociation time of these parent ions CS_2^{2+} (channel (1, 1)), CS_2^{3+} (channel (1, 2)), CS_2^{3+} (channel (2, 1)), and CS_2^{4+} (channel (2, 2)) are about 666, 498, 456, and 396 fs, respectively. It is noteworthy that the time requirement for the dissociation becomes shorter with the increase of the charge number of the parent ion. That is to say, the higher charge number will yield the stronger Coulomb repulsive force, which will accelerate the Coulomb explosion and quickly dissociate into fragmentation ions, and so the higher KER and narrower angular distribution of the fragment ions will be observed. This gives a good explanation for the experimental observation in Figs. 3 and 4 that the fragment ions with the higher KER and narrower angular distribution come from the higher parent ions. Moreover, the change tendency of the dissociation time can help us understand why no highly charged parent ions $CS_2^{k^+}$ ($k \ge 3$) are observed in our experiment, as shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 5. (color online) The time evolution of Coulomb energy (red dotted lines) and KER (black solid lines) for the four CE channels $CS_2^{2+} \rightarrow S^+ + CS^+$ (a), $CS_2^{3+} \rightarrow S^+ + CS^{2+}$ (b), $CS_2^{3+} \rightarrow S^{2+} + CS^+$ (c), and $CS_2^{4+} \rightarrow S^{2+} + CS^{2+}$ (d).

 Table 2. Time requirement for different percentages of Coulomb energy to KERs in the four CE channels.

Channels	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%
(1,1)	41	84	136	237	666
(1,2)	30	62	100	176	498
(2, 1)	27	56	94	162	456
(2,2)	24	49	91	140	396

4. Conclusions

In summary, the CE process of CS₂ molecule under the near-infrared (800 nm) intense femtosecond laser field has been experimentally investigated with a DC-sliced ion imaging technique. The CE channels of fragment ions S⁺, S²⁺, CS^+ , and CS^{2+} by breaking one C–S bond were confirmed by calculating their corresponding velocity distribution and angular distribution. The Coulomb explosion process of highly charged parent ions $CS_2^{k^+}$ (k = 2–4) was theoretically simulated by using a Coulomb potential approximation. It was shown that the chemical bond break occurred at a critical distance, and the dissociation time of parent ion decreased with the increase of the charge number due to the stronger Coulomb repulsive force, and the theoretical result gave a good explanation as to why the measured KER in the experiment was much smaller than the theoretical calculation and the fragment ions from the higher parent ions showed a higher KER and narrower angular distribution. We believe that these experimental and theoretical results will be very useful for further understanding the CE process of polyatomic molecule under the intense femtosecond laser field.

References

- Vijayalakshmi K, Safvan C P, Kumar G R and Mathur D 1997 Chem. Phys. Lett. 270 37
- [2] Castillejo M, Couris S, Koudoumas E and Martin M 1998 Chem. Phys. Lett. 289 303
- [3] Sakai H, Stapelfeldt H, Constant E, Ivanov M Y, Matusek D R, Wright J S and Corkum P B 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 2217
- [4] Seideman T, Ivanov M Y and Corkum P B 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 2819
- [5] Zuo T and Bandrauk A D 1995 Phys. Rev. A 52 R2511
- [6] Bandrauk A D and Lu H Z 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* 62 053406
- [7] Banerjee S, Kumar G R and Mathur D 1999 Phys. Rev. A 60 R25
- [8] Bryant W A, Sanderson J H, El-Zein A, Newell W R, Taday P F and Langley A J 2000 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 33 745
- [9] Sabzyan H and Vafaee M 2005 *Phys. Rev. A* 71 063404
 [10] Agostini P, Fabre F, Mainfray G, Petite G and Rahman N K 1979 *Phys.*
- Rev. Lett. 42 1127
- [11] Roeterdink W G and Janssen M H M 2002 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 4 601
- [12] Wang Y M, Zhang S, Wei Z R and Zhang B 2009 Chem. Phys. Lett. 468 14

- [13] Rosca-Pruna F, Springate E, Offerhaus H L, Krishnamurthy M, Farid N, Nicole C and Vrakking M J J 2001 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 34 4919
- [14] Beylerian C and Cornaggia C 2004 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 37 L259
- [15] Cornaggia C, Schmidt M and Normand D 1994 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 27 L123
- [16] Wright J S, DiLabio G A, Matusek D R, Corkum P B, Ivanov M Y, Ellert C, Buenker R J, Alekseyev A B and Hirsch G 1999 *Phys. Rev. A* 59 4512
- [17] Tzallas P, Kosmidis C, Philis J G, Ledingham K W D, McCanny T, Singhal R P, Hankin S M, Taday P F and Langley A J 2001 Chem. Phys. Lett. 343 91
- [18] Hasegawa H, Hishikawa A and Yamanouchi K 2001 Chem. Phys. Lett. 349 57
- [19] Hishikawa A, Hasegawa H and Yamanouchi K 2002 Chem. Phys. Lett. 361 245
- [20] Hishikawa A, Hasegawa H and Yamanouchi K 2004 Chem. Phys. Lett. 388 1
- [21] Sun S Z, Yang Y, Zhang J, Wu H, Chen Y T, Zhang S A, Jia T Q, Wang Z G and Sun Z R 2013 Chem. Phys. Lett. 581 16
- [22] Wu H, Yang Y, Sun S Z, Zhang J, Deng L, Zhang S A, Jia T Q, Wang Z G and Sun Z R 2014 Chem. Phys. Lett. 607 70
- [23] Zhang J, Zhang S A, Yang Y, Sun S Z, Li J, Chen Y T, Jia T Q, Wang Z G, Kong F A and Sun Z R 2014 Phys. Rev. A 90 053428
- [24] Constant E, Stapelfeldt H and Corkum P B 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** 4140
- [25] Bandrauk A D, Musaev D G and Morokuma K 1999 Phys. Rev. A 59 4309
- [26] Wang C, Ding D, Okunishi M, Wang Z G, Liu X J, Prümper G and Ueda K 2010 Chem. Phys. Lett. 496 32
- [27] Yang Y, Fan L L, Sun S Z, Zhang J, Chen Y T, Zhang S A, Jia T Q and Sun Z R 2011 J. Chem. Phys. 135 064303
- [28] Wu C, Zhang G Q, Wu C Y, Yang Y D, Liu X R, Deng Y K, Liu H, Liu Y Q and Gong Q H 2012 *Phys. Rev. A* 85 063407
- [29] Schmidt M, Normand D and Cornaggia C 1994 Phys. Rev. A 50 5037
- [30] Wu C, Wu C Y, Song D, Su H M, Yang Y D, Wu Z F, Liu X R, Liu H, Li M, Deng Y K, Liu Y Q, Peng L Y, Jiang H B and Gong Q H 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 103601
- [31] Wu C Y, Wu C, Fan Y M, Xie X G, Wang P, Deng Y K, Liu Y Q and Gong Q H 2015 J. Chem. Phys. 142 124303
- [32] Graham P, Ledingham K W D, Singhal R P, McCanny T, Hankin S M, Fang X, Smith D J, Kosmidis C, Tzallas P, Langley A J and Taday P F 1999 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 32 5557
- [33] Banerjee S, Kumar G R and Mathur D 1999 Phys. Rev. A 60 R3369
- [34] Guo C, Li M, Nibarger J P and Gibson G N 1998 Phys. Rev. A 58 R4271
- [35] Wang Y M, Zhang S, Wei Z R and Zhang B 2008 J. Phys. Chem. A 112 3846
- [36] Corrales M E, Gitzinger G, Vazquez J G, Loriot V, Nalda R and Banares L 2012 J. Phys. Chem. A 116 2669
- [37] Posthumus J H, Giles A J, Thompson M R and Codling K 1996 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 29 5811
- [38] Iwamae A, Hishikawa A and Yamanouchi K 2000 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 33 223
- [39] Hishikawa A, Iwamae A and Yamanouchi K 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 1127
- [40] Hishikawa A, Iwamae A and Yamanouchi K 1999 J. Chem. Phys. 111 8871
- [41] Iwasaki A, Hishikawa A and Yamanouchi K 2001 Chem. Phys. Lett. 346 379