2D Magneto-Optical Trapping of Diatomic Molecules
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We demonstrate one- and two-dimensional transverse laser cooling and magneto-optical trapping of the polar molecule yttrium (II) oxide (YO). In a 1D magneto-optical trap (MOT), we characterize the magneto-optical trapping force and decrease the transverse temperature by an order of magnitude, from 25 to 2 mK, limited by interaction time. In a 2D MOT, we enhance the intensity of the YO beam and reduce the transverse temperature in both transverse directions. The approach demonstrated here can be applied to many molecular species and can also be extended to 3D.
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Over the past quarter century, the magneto-optical trap (MOT) has been extended to two dozen atomic species [1]. This abundance of species makes ultracold atomic systems a powerful tool for studying diverse phenomena, from quantum-degenerate gases, physics beyond the standard model [2], and strongly correlated systems [3] to applications in quantum information [4] and simulation, quantum sensing, and ultraprecise optical clocks [5]. Ultracold polar molecules, with their additional internal degrees of freedom and complex interactions, yield even richer phenomena [6]. Trapped ultracold samples of molecules promise to enhance the sensitivity of tests of fundamental symmetries [7–9], study complex quantum systems under precise control, produce new ultracold samples of atomic species not available with current cooling techniques [10,11], and open the door to ultracold chemistry via production of ultracold organic molecules [11,12].

Recently, many techniques have been developed for producing cold and ultracold samples of polar molecules. Magnetoassociation and the adiabatic transfer [13] of ultracold atoms can produce ultracold samples of polar molecules, although this technique is currently limited to bialkali species. Buffer gas cooling [14] and molecular beam slowing techniques, such as Stark [15] and Zeeman [16,17] deceleration, can produce molecules cold enough to load into conservative traps. Further cooling of these trapped samples to temperatures below 10 mK via evaporative or sympathetic cooling is possible [18] but remains technically challenging. Optoelectric cooling of CH$_3$F molecules has resulted in temperatures as low as 29 mK [12]. Optical cooling has been proposed [19,20] and recently realized [21]. A MOT [20] would be the ideal tool for producing ultracold trapped samples of diatomic molecules, much as it is for atoms.

A MOT gains its utility by combining a spatially dependent trapping force with a fast dissipative cooling force. With cooling rates on the order of 10$^8$ s$^{-1}$, warm atoms can be cooled and compressed in a few milliseconds over length scales of less than 1 cm, with 2D MOTs producing high intensity beams of cold atoms [22] and 3D MOTs producing cold, dense trapped samples. The realization of a molecular MOT will dramatically lengthen the interaction and observation times for molecules as well as increase the molecular densities and collision rates. Enhanced collision rates are necessary for applications such as ultracold chemistry and evaporative cooling.

In this Letter, we demonstrate a method for producing both a dissipative cooling and a magneto-optical spring force for diatomic molecules using oscillating magnetic fields and time-dependent optical polarizations. We demonstrate and characterize the technique for the molecule yttrium (II) oxide (YO) in both 1D and 2D MOT configurations, using one main cooling laser and two additional repumping lasers. To achieve the fast cooling rates necessary for MOT, more than 10$^4$ optical photons must be scattered at rates of $\sim 10^6$ s$^{-1}$, requiring a highly closed electronic transition, despite the presence of the additional vibrational and rotational degrees of freedom. The demonstrated method is, however, quite general and can be applied to molecular species with quasicycling transitions between electronic states with unequal magnetic moments, with only a minimal increase in laser complexity [19,20]. Indeed, two dozen suitable diatomic molecular systems have been identified [7–11,19–21,23], with species including hydrides, carbides, halides, and oxides, promising a diverse set of physical and chemical phenomena. We note that a fast dissipative cooling force for SrF molecules has been observed experimentally in 1D transverse laser cooling [21] and longitudinal slowing [24] of a SrF beam.

YO has a single naturally abundant isotopomer $^{89}$Y$^{16}$O and a relatively simple hyperfine structure with nuclear spins $I_Y = 1/2$ and $I_O = 0$. The main cooling transition proceeds on $X^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ at 614 nm, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ has a radiative lifetime of $\gamma^{-1} = 33$ ns [25], allowing for fast optical cycling. Diagonal Franck-Condon factors limit the vibrational branching of $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ [26]. Only two additional lasers at 648 and 649 nm
to repump the $v'' = 1$, 2 levels are needed to limit the vibrational branching loss to $< 10^{-6}$. The loss will likely be dominated by branching to the intermediate electronic state $A^2\Delta \Delta_{1/2}$ at a level of $\eta < 4 \times 10^{-4}$ [27,28]. The $|A, v; J' = 1/2, F', +\rangle$ manifold forms a highly closed transition with the $|X, v''; N'' = 1, G'', F'', -\rangle$ manifold, as shown in Fig. 1(b), due to parity and angular momentum selection rules [20,27,29]. The molecular states are labeled by quantum numbers for vibration $v$, total angular momentum excluding nuclear spin $J$, rotation $N$, intermediate quantum number $G$ formed by coupling of electron and nuclear spin $G = S + I$, total angular momentum $F$, and parity $p = \pm$ [27,30]. The repumping of hyperfine levels within each vibrational level is achieved with a single laser by creating frequency shifted sidebands using an acousto-optic modulator [27]. In order to maintain optimal photon scattering rates for laser cooling, we destabilize optical dark states that form in the ground state Zeeman manifolds [31] by modulating the polarization of the cooling light between $\sigma^+$ and $\sigma^-$ with a voltage-controlled wave plate (a Pockels cell). The modulation rate should be similar to the optical pumping rate, which in our case is on the order of several $10^8$ s$^{-1}$.

This laser configuration for creating a quasicycling transition is used for Doppler cooling of molecules [27] and for creating a MOT. The magnetic field dependence of the ground manifold is shown in Fig. 1(c) [32]; the $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state is magnetically insensitive. Figure 1(d) shows the simplified YO level structure involved in the MOT. In contrast to a typical atomic MOT, the ground state has a larger Zeeman degeneracy than the excited electronic state. A quadrupole magnetic field gradient provides a spatially dependent energy shift of the $G'' = 1$ ground state. A molecule at position $r$ [Fig. 1(d)] in the upper Zeeman level preferentially scatters photons from the laser propagating to the left due to selection rules and laser detunings. This results in a restoring force toward the center of the trap (magnetic field minimum). Since we modulate the cooling light polarization to destabilize dark states, we must also modulate the direction of the magnetic field in phase with the light polarization to maintain a restoring force for the MOT. This is shown schematically in Fig. 1(d). Atomic MOTs with magnetic fields oscillating at 5 kHz can produce stable three-dimensional trapping [33]. Our modulation frequency of 2 MHz is set by the optical pumping rate. The MOT method described here is applicable to a wide class of molecules since it requires only a differential Zeeman shift between the ground and excited electronic states. For molecules with a more complicated hyperfine structure than shown in Fig. 1(d), it is straightforward to choose the correct laser polarization for each hyperfine manifold.

To fully describe the cooling and trapping forces for YO would involve 44 molecular levels, 15 optical frequencies with time-dependent polarization, and a time-dependent magnetic field. Nevertheless, a simple multilevel rate equation (MLRE) model [34] can be used to extend the results from the two-level models to provide physical insight into the observed dynamics of a YO MOT. In the limit of small laser detunings and low laser power, the optical cooling and trapping force for the two-level system in one dimension can be expressed in the form $F_{\text{MO}} = -\beta v - kr$ [27,35]. Here, $F_{\text{MO}}$ is the force experienced by the molecule; $\beta$ characterizes a viscous cooling (Doppler) force, proportional to the molecule’s velocity $v$; and $k$ represents a magneto-optical spring force proportional to the molecule’s displacement $r$ from the magnetic field minimum. $\kappa$ can be expressed in terms of $\beta$ as $\kappa = \mu' A_1 / \hbar$, where $\mu'$ is the differential magnetic moment between the ground and excited states, $A$ is the magnetic field gradient, $k$ is the wave number, and $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant.

For multilevel systems with $N$ ground states and a single excited state, the optimal (maximum) damping parameter $\beta_N$ scales roughly as $\beta_N \sim 2\beta_1 / (N + 1)$ and is achieved when all grounds states are driven [27]. Despite slower damping rates, the Doppler cooling limit for the multilevel system remains unchanged from the two-level result, $T_{\text{Dop}} = h/2k_B = 116 \mu K$. The slower damping rates are balanced by slower heating rates from photon recoils. For our time-dependent magnetic field, it suffices to replace the two-level system $k$ with a time averaged $\bar{k}$. Averaging the magneto-optical force over a single cycle yields $\bar{k} = (2\sqrt{2}/\pi) \cos(\varphi) (\mu' A_{\text{rms}} \beta / \hbar k)$, where $A_{\text{rms}}$ is the root-mean-square (rms) field gradient and $\varphi$ is the
phase between the modulation of the MOT field and the optical polarization. By changing the relative phase \( \varphi \), we can change the magnitude and sign of the MOT spring force independently of the Doppler force.

To characterize the MOT, we use a cryogenic buffer gas molecular beam apparatus [36], depicted in Fig. 2. The YO molecules are produced via laser ablation of a sintered \( \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3 \) pellet located inside a copper cell filled with a 4.5 K helium buffer gas. The YO molecules thermalize translationally and rotationally via collisions with the 4.5 K helium buffer gas. In-cell laser absorption measurements indicate initial \( |X,0; N' = 1\rangle \) densities on the order of \( 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), corresponding to more than \( 10^{10} \) molecules produced per ablation pulse. A YO molecular beam is formed by extraction of the molecules through a 3 mm diameter aperture in the side of the buffer gas cell. The molecular beam is collimated by a second aperture, 2.5 mm in diameter, placed 130 mm from the buffer gas cell. The direction of propagation of the beam is defined as the \( z \) axis (2). This results in a molecular beam with a longitudinal velocity of \( v_z \sim 120 \text{ m/s} \), with a full width at half-maximum (FWHM) spread of \( 40 \text{ m/s} \) in the longitudinal velocity distribution \( (T_z \sim 3.3 \text{ K}) \), as determined by Doppler shift fluorescence spectroscopy. The transverse temperature of the molecular beam after the collimating aperture is \( T_x \sim 25 \text{ mK} \).

Following the collimating aperture, the molecular beam travels to a 10 cm long interaction region. Cooling lasers, with a FWHM beam diameter of \( \sim 3 \text{ mm} \), make 11 round-trip passes through the interaction region, yielding a molecule-laser interaction time of \( t_{\text{int}} \sim 275 \text{ \mu s} \). The multipass consists of a pair of mirrors and \( \lambda/4 \) wave plates to provide the correct polarization of light for the MOT.

The propagation direction of the cooling lasers defines the \( x \) axis \((\hat{x})\). The magnetic field coil used for the MOT has a rectangular baseball coil geometry, with dimensions of \( 5 \times 5 \times 15 \text{ cm} \). The coil consists of 25 turns of Litz wire in series with a tuning capacitor, forming an LC resonator with resonant frequency \( \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 2 \text{ MHz} \) and quality factor \( Q = 77 \). Power is coupled into the MOT coils via a transformer designed to impedance match the MOT coil to a 50\( \Omega \) transmission line. Assuming perfect coupling, a drive power of 20 W yields field gradients of \( A_{\text{rms}} = 6 \text{ G/cm} \). We monitor the phase of the MOT field with a pickup coil and phase lock the MOT field to the polarization modulation signal [27].

Following the interaction region, the molecules traverse a 30 cm long region for ballistic expansion. Finally, the molecules enter a probe region, where they are optically pumped into the ground vibrational state with a multipass ‘clean-up’ beam consisting of \( v' = 1,2 \) repump lasers. A retroreflected probe beam, derived from the same laser beam used for the cooling transition, propagates along \( \hat{x} \) and interrogates the molecules. Cycling fluorescence from the probe beam is collected along the \( y \) axis \((\hat{y})\) and imaged onto a CCD camera. To extract the transverse temperature of the molecular beam, \( T_x = \sigma_{v_z}^2 m/k_B \), where \( \sigma_{v_z}^2 \) is the variance in the velocity distribution, \( m = 105 \text{ amu} \) is the mass of YO, and \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, we fit the molecular beam profile along \( \hat{x} \) to an expected functional form calculated from a Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. The spatial width of the molecular beam is dominated by the transverse velocity distribution. The MC simulation calculates the position and velocity of the molecules at the location of the probe beam using the observed molecular beam properties and calculated magneto-optical force \( F_{\text{MO}} \) [27].

Figure 3(a) shows a typical molecular beam profile after passing through the 1D MOT. Curve (i) shows the unperturbed molecular beam with initial transverse temperature...
\(T_i \sim 25\) mK, (ii) shows a Doppler cooled molecular beam (magnetic field off, \(A_{\text{rms}} = 0\)), and (iii) and (iv) show the molecular beam after passing through the 1D MOT with \(A_{\text{rms}} = 6\) G/cm for the relative MOT phase \(\varphi = 0^\circ, 180^\circ\), respectively. The cooling lasers are detuned by \(\delta/2\pi = -5\) MHz, where \(\delta = \omega_{\text{laser}} - \omega_{\text{YO}}\) is a uniform detuning of all \(\nu'' = 0\) cooling lasers from their respective transitions, with \(\delta = 0\) corresponding to no observable change in temperature [27]. The \(\nu'' = 1, 2\) repump lasers remain on resonance. Cooling of the molecular beam is observed as a narrowing of the molecular beam profile and an increase in the number of molecules at the center. Typically, we observe that 85% of the molecules remain after cooling, consistent with the branching loss to the \(A^2Delta_{3/2}\) at a level of \(\eta < 4 \times 10^{-4}\) [27,28]. Besides cooling, curve (iii) of Fig. 3(a) clearly shows the enhancement of molecules at the center of the beam due to the MOT spring force. By simply changing the phase to \(\varphi = 180^\circ\), we observe an anti-MOT, where both temperature and peak molecule number deteriorate. Figure 3(b) shows the full dependence of the final temperature on \(\varphi\). MC simulations, shown as a solid line, agree well with the observed phase dependence.

We observe the final cooled beam temperatures are as low as 2 mK, achieving more than a factor of 10 in cooling, yet still above the Doppler limit of 116 \(\mu\)K. The simulations of the Doppler cooling force indicate this is due to a finite interaction time and cooling rate [27]. The final temperature of the beam can be expressed as \(T_f = T_i \times \exp[-t_{\text{int}}\Gamma_D]\), where the Doppler cooling rate \(\Gamma_D = (2\beta/m)\). This implies an experimental value of \(\Gamma_D \sim 5 \times 10^3\) s\(^{-1}\), in good agreement with the cooling rate \(\Gamma_D = 8 \times 10^3\) s\(^{-1}\) predicted by the MLRE model. Analysis of the MOT data in Fig. 3(b) using the MC simulation yields a value of the MOT oscillation frequency of \(\omega_{\text{MOT}} = \sqrt{\beta/m} \approx 2\pi \times 155\) Hz. This agrees well with the predicted value of \(\omega_{\text{MOT}} \sim 2\pi \times 160\) Hz, derived from the measured value of \(\beta\) and calculated magnetic field gradient \(A_{\text{rms}} = 6\) G/cm. Even though the molecules traverse the MOT in a fraction of a trap oscillation, the molecular beam intensity enhancement due to the MOT is clear and can be well explained by the optical pumping rate model. This analysis quantifies both the viscous cooling and spatially dependent restoring forces, demonstrating the necessary physical components for a MOT.

While the 1D MOT provides a simple pedagogical understanding, to enhance the brightness of the molecular beam we implement the MOT in 2D. Cooling lasers then propagate along both \(\hat{x}\) and \(\hat{y}\). Figure 4(a) shows a comparison of molecular beam profiles for the 1D and 2D MOTs. The 2D MOT exhibits an increased molecular flux and decreased cooling rate along \(\hat{x}\), compared to the 1D MOT. The explanation for this is straightforward. Since the probe laser beam diameter is smaller than the unperturbed molecular beam diameter, the action of the MOT along \(\hat{y}\) results in an increase of detected molecules. This has been verified directly by operating the MOT along \(\hat{y}\) only and probing along \(\hat{x}\), as shown in Fig. 4(b). The observed value of \(\beta_x\) in 2D is roughly half that observed in 1D. This is consistent since half the photons are now scattered along \(\hat{y}\) and do not provide any cooling along \(\hat{x}\). Figure 4(c) compares the final transverse temperatures for Doppler cooling and the MOT in both 1D and 2D configurations. Operation of the MOT in 2D also demonstrates that there will be no unforeseen complications for a 3D MOT due to the polarizations of multiple orthogonal laser beams.

We have demonstrated a transverse MOT for polar molecules and characterize both the viscous damping force and spatially dependent restoring force. These forces lead to a factor of 10 in cooling of the transverse temperature of YO, limited only by interaction time. Extending the technique to a 3D MOT will actually solve the problem of limited interaction time, and we estimate that a 3D MOT will have a capture velocity of about 10 m/s. Loading of a 3D MOT can be achieved from a slow molecular beam [24,37]. MOT lifetimes will be limited by optical pumping into dark states [27], but this problem can be overcome by using additional repump lasers or by using a vibrational dark spontaneous-force optical trap MOT [38]. Thus, a clear path exists to a 3D MOT that produces cold, dense samples of diatomic molecules.
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Optical cycling transition Figure S1 shows the rotational and hyperfine structure of the ground $X^2 \Sigma^+$ state of YO, which is of Hund’s case $b_{GS}$ [? ]. The ground electronic state can be labeled by quantum numbers $|X, v''; N'', G'', F'', p\rangle$, where $v''$ indicates vibrational level and $N''$ indicates rotational level. A strong Fermi contact interaction couples the electronic spin $S = 1/2$ to the nuclear spin $I = 1/2$ of the $^{89}\text{Y}$ to form an intermediate quantum number $G = S + I$. The electron spin-rotation interaction then couples molecular rotation $N$ to form total angular momentum $F = G + N$. The rotational states have parity, $p = (-1)^N$, denoted by $+/−$ in Fig. 1(b). The excited $A^2 \Pi_{1/2}$ state is labeled by Hund’s case $a$ quantum numbers, and can be labeled by quantum numbers $|A, v'; J', F', p\rangle$, where $J'$ is the total electronic angular momentum, and $F = J + I$. The lowest rotational state $J' = 1/2$ is split into two states of opposite parity separated by the $\Lambda$-doubling of 4.5 GHz [? ]. Each parity state consists of a pair of hyperfine manifolds $F' = 0, 1$ that are not spectroscopically resolved.

Parity selection rules require the $|A, v'; 1/2, F', +\rangle$ state to decay to a negative parity ground state, implying $N''$ must be odd. Each ground level $|X, v''; N'', G'', F''\rangle$ can be expressed as a superposition of states expressed in the $|X, v''; J'' = N'' \pm 1/2, F''\rangle$ basis. The angular momentum selection rule $|J'' − J'| = 0, 1$ then only allows decays to the $|X, v''; N'' = 1, G'', F'', −\rangle$ state. Mixing of the $|X, v''; 1, 1, 2\rangle$ with the $|X, v''; 3, 1, 2\rangle$ level or the $|A, 1/2, 1\rangle$ with the $|A, 3/2, 1\rangle$ level via the hyperfine interaction can lead to branching loss ratios on the order of $(10 \text{ MHz}/30 \text{ GHz})^2 < 10^{-6}$ and can be ignored.

One additional complication arises when simultaneously driving the optical transitions from the energy degenerate sub-levels within the hyperfine manifolds. In particular, for transitions of the type $F'' \rightarrow F' = F''$ or $F'' - 1$, where $F''$ is an integer, optical dark states will arise for any choice of static laser polarization [? ]. In these dark states, the ground molecular hyperfine state is no longer coupled to the excited state by the optical cooling laser, and the cooling ceases. The common solution is to destabilize the dark states by making them time dependent, either by applying an external magnetic field to make the energy levels non-degenerate, or by modulating the polarization of the driving optical field. While application of a magnetic field is straightforward experimentally, for this level structure in YO it does not work. The $|X, v''; 1, 0, 1\rangle$ state is a spin singlet state, and the energies of its Zeeman sublevels are insensitive to application of an external magnetic field, as shown in the upper panel of Fig. 1(c). Therefore, we instead modulate the polarization of the cooling light between $\sigma^+$ and $\sigma^-$. In order to maintain optimal photon scattering rates for laser cooling, the modulation rate should be similar to the optical pumping rate, which in our case is on the order of several $10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$. This is achieved experimentally with a voltage-controlled waveplate, also known as a Pockels cell.

Laser cooling setup To produce the light necessary for creating a cycling transition in YO we use a setup consisting of three lasers, as shown in Figure S1. The laser light for the main cooling transition at $\lambda = 614 \text{ nm}$ is generated from a ring cavity dye laser. The dye laser frequency is stabilized to the $|X, 0; 1, 0, 1\rangle \rightarrow |A, 0; 1/2, F', +\rangle$ transition frequency. Cooling light for the $|X, 0; 1, 1, F''\rangle$ manifold is generated by frequency shifting a portion of the main cooling beam using an acousto-optic-modulator (AOM) operated at two frequencies, 760 and 774 MHz. The $v'' = 1$ and $v'' = 2$ repump light at 648 nm and 649 nm is generated from a pair of master external cavity diode laser (ECDL) and injection locked slave laser setups. The 3 mW output from a master ECDL is stabilized to the corresponding $|X, (1, 2); 1, 0, 1\rangle \rightarrow |A, (0, 1); 1/2, F', +\rangle$ transition. This master ECDL laser is used to injection lock a high power laser diode ($\sim 80 \text{ mW}$). Most of the slave diode laser power is used for repumping of the $|X, (1, 2); 1, 0, 1\rangle$, while a portion ($< 10 \text{ mW}$) is frequency shifted using a double pass AOM and used to injection lock a second high power diode for repumping the $|X, (1, 2); 1, 1, F''\rangle$ manifold. To address all of the $F'' = 0, 1, 2$ manifolds in the $G'' = 1$ state, the AOM frequency is modulated at a rate of 1 MHz to provide a frequency shift between 760 MHz and 774 MHz. For each vibrational level $v'' = 0, 1, 2$, the $G'' = 0$ and

FIG. S1: Laser cooling setup for YO. Abbreviations: ECDL, external cavity diode laser; OI, optical isolator; AOM, acousto-optic modulator; $\Lambda/2$, half-wave retardation plate; PBS, polarizing beam splitter. Due to the limited output power of the ECDL, we use injection seeding to boost the usable optical power for the MOT experiment.
$G'' = 1$ beams are combined on separate polarizing beam splitter cubes. The $v'' = 1, 2$ repump light is then combined on a non-polarizing $50 - 50$ beam splitter cube, with half of the power for the cooling beam and half of the power for the “cleanup” repump beam. The $v'' = 1, 2$ repump beam is combined with the $v'' = 0$ cooling beam using a dichroic mirror. The cooling beam at this point consists of frequencies for $v'' = 0, 1, 2$ levels with the beam for each level consisting of a pair of beams with orthogonal linear polarizations, for $G'' = 0$ and $G'' = 1$ manifolds. This beam then passes through the Pockels cell allowing the polarization of the beams to be modulated between $\sigma^+$ and $\sigma^-$ at rates of up to 10 MHz.

We frequency stabilize the cooling and repump lasers via optical heterodyne measurements with light from a self-referenced octave-spanning erbium-doped fiber frequency comb.

**Magneto-optical trap phase stabilization** The MOT field coil is located inside the vacuum chamber and is set in a low-outgassing, thermally conductive, electrically insulating epoxy and water cooled to avoid overheating of the coils during operation. During typical experimental conditions, the MOT coils are turned on and off, leading to cycling of the coil temperature and drifts of the resonant frequency, $\omega_0$. Since the MOT is driven at a fixed excitation frequency, drifts of the resonant frequency will lead to drifts in the phase shift of the MOT field. To stabilize this phase shift, we monitor the phase of the MOT field using a pickup coil and phase lock it to the polarization modulation reference signal using the circuit diagram shown in Figure S2(a). Figure S2(b) shows the final MOT temperature versus the drive power of the MOT coils. The complicated Zeeman structure of YO and many optical frequencies present in the MOT makes analysis of the exact dependence of the final MOT temperature on magnetic field gradient difficult and beyond the scope of this present paper.

**Calculation of Magneto-Optical Force in a Multilevel System** First, we restate the result for the optical cooling and trapping force for the two-level system in one dimension in the limit of small laser detunings and low laser power [? ]:

\[
F_{\text{MO}} = -\beta v - \kappa r
\]

\[
\beta = \frac{-8\hbar k^2 \delta s_0}{\gamma (1 + s_0 + (2\delta/\gamma)^2)^2}
\]

\[
\kappa = \mu' A \beta / \hbar k
\]

Here, $F_{\text{MO}}$ is the force experienced by the molecule, $\beta$ characterizes a viscous drag (Doppler) force, proportional to the molecule’s velocity, $v$, and $\kappa$ represents a magneto-optical spring force proportional to the molecule’s displacement, $r$, from the magnetic field minimum. The forces are parameterized by the wavenumber, $k$, and the detuning, $\delta$, of the cooling laser from the molecular transition, the resonant saturation parameter $s_0$, the differential magnetic moment between ground and excited state $\mu'$, the magnetic field gradient $A$, and reduced Planck’s constant $\hbar$.

Now let us consider a multi-level system. The average force on a molecule due to the many spontaneous emission cycles can be expressed as $F_{\text{spont}} = \hbar k \gamma \rho_{ee}$, where $k$ is the wavenumber of the spontaneous photon, $\gamma^{-1}$ is the lifetime of the excited state, and $\rho_{ee}$ is the average population in the excited state [? ]. In a multi-level molecule, interacting with multiple laser frequencies, we can use a multilevel rate equation to solve for the steady state excited state molecule population. We consider a system with $N$ ground states and a single excited state. The pumping rate, $\Gamma_{ij}$, from the $i$th ground state to the excited state, due to the interaction with the $j$th laser frequency, can be expressed as:

\[
\Gamma_{ij} = \gamma s_{ij} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{2(\delta - \mu' A r / \hbar k)}{\gamma}\right)^2}
\]

(1)

where $s_{ij}$ is the saturation parameter, $\delta_{ij}$ is the laser detuning, $\gamma$ is the lifetime of the excited state, and $\rho_{ee}$ is the average population in the excited state. In the limit of low laser intensity, the total pumping rate from the $i$th ground state to the excited state from $L_i$ laser frequencies is

\[
\Gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^{L_i} \Gamma_{ij}
\]

(2)

Solving the multilevel rate equation for the excited state population yields

\[
\rho_{ee} = \frac{1}{N + 1} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\gamma}{(N + 1)\Gamma_i}\right)^{-1}
\]

(3)
The force on the molecules from lasers propagating in a single direction can then be expressed as \( F^{(+)} = h \kappa(\gamma + 1) \). For the counter-propagating laser, \( k \rightarrow -k \), and \( A \rightarrow -A \), yielding a force \( F^{(-)} \), and the total magneto-optical force on the molecule can be expressed as \( F = F^{(+)} + F^{(-)} \). Recall the expressions for magneto-optical force for small laser detunings and low laser power, \( F_{MO} = -\beta \nu - \kappa \nu \). Expressions for \( \beta \) and \( \kappa \) can be derived in the multilevel system by a simple Taylor series expansion of the total force \( F \), with \( \beta = \frac{\delta F}{\delta \nu} |_{\nu=0} \) and \( \kappa = \frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta \nu^2} |_{\nu=0} \).

In the analysis of the data presented in the main text, we found it necessary to use a model with \( N = 5 \) ground states, corresponding to the four hyperfine manifolds in the ground state plus an additional state representing the \( v'' = 1, 2 \) levels. The model used a single laser frequency for each of the \( |X, 0; 1, 0, 0 \rangle \) and \( |X, v''; 1, 2 \rangle \) states. For the three states in the \( |X, 0; 1, 1, F'' \rangle \) manifold, 4 laser frequencies were used due to the frequency structure of the light produced by the AOM. The AOM is driven at two frequencies, \( f_0 = 760 \text{ MHz} \) and \( f_{12} = 774 \text{ MHz} \), to produce light for cooling the \( F'' = 0 \) and \( F'' = 1, 2 \) levels, respectively. In addition to producing sidebands at \( f_0 \) and \( f_{12} \), sidebands of about 1/10th the power we produced at \( 2f_{12} - f_0 \) and \( 2f_0 - f_{12} \). Inclusion of all sidebands is necessary to produce the tuning dependence of the Doppler cooling force shown in Fig. S3(b).

**Characterization of Doppler cooling force**

Figure S3(a) shows transversely molecular beam profiles under various conditions. Curve (i) in Fig. S3(a) shows the unperturbed molecular beam (cooling lasers off), with a transverse temperature of 25 mK. If only the \( v'' = 0 \) cooling laser is turned on, the YO molecules are efficiently pumped into \( v'' = 1, 2 \) levels, leaving a depleted beam signal shown as curve (ii). The curves (iii) and (iv) in Fig. S3(a) correspond to the YO molecules under the presence of cooling lasers detuned by \( \delta/2\pi = -5 \) and +5 MHz, respectively. We define the detuning, \( \delta = \omega_{\text{laser}} - \omega_{YO} \), as a uniform detuning of all the \( v'' = 0 \) cooling lasers from their respective transitions, with \( \delta = 0 \) corresponding to no observable change in temperature. The \( v'' = 1, 2 \) repump lasers remain on resonance. Cooling of the molecular beam is observed as a narrowing of the molecular beam profile and increase in the number of molecules at the center of the beam. As expected, for small negative (positive) detunings we observe cooling (heating) of the molecular beam. Figure S3(b) shows the Doppler force dependence over a range of laser detunings. The observed temperatures agree well with a simulation of the force. Due to the closely spaced hyperfine levels in the \( G'' = 1 \) manifold of the ground state, a laser with \( \delta/2\pi = +10 \text{ MHz} \) for the \( G'' = 1, F'' = 0 \) level will act as a negatively-detuned beam for the \( G'' = 1, F'' = 2 \) levels. The simulation of the Doppler force indicates that this effect is responsible for the cooling observed at large positive detunings. The inset of Fig. S3(b) shows the dependance of the Doppler cooled temperature on polarization modulation rate for a YO molecular beam of initial transverse temperature of 15 mK and laser detuning \( \delta = -5 \text{ MHz} \). The cooling and photon scatter rate is clearly limited by Zeeman dark states for polarization modulation rates less than 5 MHz. The initial transverse temperature of 15 mK, as opposed to 25 mK for the rest of the data, is due to a smaller collimating aperture used for the polarization modulation experiment.

Under optimal cooling conditions we observe that 85% of the molecules remain after cooling. This implies that the branching ratio into dark states is on the order of \( \eta \sim 10^{-4} \). One possible loss channel is via decay to the \( A^2 \Delta_{3/2} \) electronic state. A calculation of the dipole transition strength for the \( A^2 \Pi_{1/2} \rightarrow A^2 \Delta_{3/2} \) transition \([?]\) indicates that the branching fraction could be as large as \( \eta = 4 \times 10^{-4} \). Molecules that decay into \( A^2 \Delta_{3/2} \) will subsequently decay into the ground \( X^2 \Sigma, N'' = 0, 2 \) levels \([?]\), acquiring a parity flip from emitting an additional photon. This may be a useful method for accumulating molecules in the ground rotational state. Alternatively the \( X^2 \Sigma \rightarrow A^2 \Delta_{3/2} \) transition at 690 nm could be used.
for narrow-line cooling [?] or to pump the molecules back into the \( N'' = 1 \) level by applying a weak electric field to mix parity states in the \( A^2 \Delta_{3/2} \) level.

**Cooling power dependence** Figures S4(a) and S4(b) compare the observed temperatures for Doppler cooling and the 1-D MOT for varying cooling and repump powers, respectively. Monte Carlo simulations of the cooling force are shown as a solid line. We observe that at large cooling powers, Fig. S4(a), the Doppler cooling rate decreases (larger final temperature) due to power broadening of the cooling transition. Although our simple model also predicts this effect for the MOT, we observe the coldest MOT temperatures for the largest cooling power. This disagreement is likely due to the more complicated level structure in the MOT that is not accounted for in the simulation. In Fig. S4(b), at low repump power, the cooling rate for both Doppler cooling and the MOT is limited by the repump time out of the \( v'' = 1, 2 \) levels, while at large repump power, the cooling rate saturates.

**3-D MOT properties** From our observations of the 1-D and 2-D MOT, we can estimate the properties of a 3-D MOT based on this system. It is straightforward to show that the phase space density of molecules in a 3-D MOT will initially approach its equilibrium value as \( \rho \propto nT^{-3/2} \propto \rho_0 \exp[(3\Gamma_D/4 - \eta\gamma_p)t] \), where \( \eta \) is the branching ratio into dark states and \( \gamma_p \) is the photon scattering rate. Phase space increase requires \( \eta < 3\Gamma_D/(4\gamma_p) \sim 10^{-3} \), where we have substituted typical parameters for YO, \( \Gamma_D = 4 \times 10^3 \) s\(^{-1} \), and \( \gamma_p = 3 \times 10^6 \) s\(^{-1} \). The lifetime of molecules in the MOT will be limited by optical pumping into dark states, with \( \tau_{\text{MOT}} \sim (\eta\gamma_p)^{-1} > 1 \) ms for \( \eta < 4 \times 10^{-4} \).