1. Introduction

Dark hollow beams (DHBs) have novel and unique physical features such as a ring-shape intensity profile, a central phase singularity, spatial propagation invariance, and spin and orbital angular momentum [1]. As a result, DHBs have attracted considerable attention for a wide range of applications in areas of particle manipulation [1–6], nanolithography and nanofabrication [7], and high-resolution optical imaging [8]. Recently, hollow beams with circular (or elliptical) symmetry, and radial (or azimuthal) polarization, have been extensively studied for elliptical Laguerre–Gaussian beams [9, 10], Mathieu beams [11, 12], vector hollow beams [13–15], localized hollow beams (LHBs) [16], and so on. One particular interest concerning LHBs is how to generate them experimentally. An LHB not only has zero-central intensity, but is also surrounded by the higher light field in all directions, like a bottle, so a LHB is also called a bottle beam. Various approaches to the generation of LHBs have been proposed since the demonstration of this beam was first realized by using the destructive interference between two light beams at the focus [16]. They were generated by the use of nonparaxial light [17–19], holograms [16, 20], binary phase plates [21–24], conical refraction [25–29], a uniaxial crystal [30], partially coherent beams [31, 32], a spatial light modulator (SLM) [33], surface plasmon polaritons [34, 35], and a photon sieve [36], to form a desirable hollow axial structure. In phase modulation methods, the diffractive optical elements, such as the $\pi$-phase plate, are constructed by the binary elements or the binary bitmap of the SLM.

In 2002 we theoretically proposed a scheme using a binary circular $\pi$-phase plate with two zones (0, $\pi$) to generate LHBs [37]. In this article, based on the idea proposed, we report on the generation of LHBs by using a binary bitmap of a SLM instead of the $\pi$-phase plate, so that more information is extracted from the dynamic binary images. Here we employ a SLM and a focal lens as beam-shaping, to directly translate a Gaussian laser beam into a LHB. As the SLM is controlled by a computer, our scheme is efficient and controllable. The aspect ratio of the LHB dark region can be effectively resized by changing the focal length of the lens. From the comparison of the calculated results with the experimental data, we validate the success of the previously proposed schemes. We also analyze the relationship of the propagation characteristics of
the LHB generated in free space with the optical systematic parameters. The experimental and theoretical results agree well.

2. Experimental scheme

Figure 1 shows the experimental setup for the generation of a LHB using a SLM and a focal lens. The Gaussian laser beam (GLB) from a He–Ne laser first passes through a linear polarizer (LP) and an optical isolator. Next, it passes through a collimating and expanding beam system consisting of a spatial filter and lens (L1) (f1 = 400 mm); the spatial filter consists of microscope objectives (10 times) and an aperture (50 µm). After that, the collimated light arrives at the SLM’s screen (Model LC-R2500). The reflected light modulated by the SLM is focused by a convergent lens (L2). A charge-coupled device (CCD) camera is placed after lens L2 to image and record the modulated light field around the focal plane of L2. We set the focal plane of lens L2 as the z = 0 plane. The phase retardation of the SLM can be increased from 0 to 2π by increasing the SLM’s gray level. So, based on the relation of the phase with the SLM’s gray level, we could readily encode various binary bitmaps and manipulate the incident light field.

3. Calculated and experimental results and discussions

Here, we briefly introduce the theory of generating the LHBs. In our scheme, the binary bitmap of the SLM acts as the π-phase plate with a two-zone structure (π and 2π); the π-phase disk and 2π-phase ring have the same area. We adjust the outer diameter of the 2π-phase ring to be the same size as the diameter of the incident light. Figure 1 shows that the collimated light is reflected by an N-portion annular phase-shifting ring shown on the SLM [38, 39]. According to diffraction theory, the normalized amplitude distribution near the focal plane of lens L2 can be defined as

\[ E(\rho, u) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \exp(i\phi_j) \int_{r_j^{-1}}^{1} r J_0(\rho r) g(r) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}iur^2) \, dr \]

Here, ρ and u are the simplified radial and axial coordinates on the imaging side, respectively, r is the radial coordinate of the objective lens’s pupil plane, and \( \phi_j \) defines the phase of zone j (j = 1, …, N) on the pupil plane. The radial position of each zone is given by \( r_j \), and \( g(r) \) is the amplitude distribution of the incident Gaussian laser beam, \( g(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{w_0^2}\right) \); \( w_0 \) is equal to the normalized outer radius of the phase zone, \( w_0 = 1 \). For the π-phase binary bitmap with two-phase zones shown in the inset of figure 1, its normalized amplitude distribution on the image side is given by [37]

\[ E(\rho, u) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 r J_0(\rho r) \exp\left(-r^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}iur^2\right) \, dr \]

where

\[ \rho = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left(\frac{D}{2f}\right) R \]

\[ u = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left(\frac{D}{2f}\right)^2 z \]

where D is the diameter of the incident Gaussian beam, \( f \) is the focal length of lens L2, and \( R \) and \( z \) are the normalized radii of the π- and 2π-phase zones, respectively. Then the intensity distribution of the LHB in free space can be given by

\[ I = |E(\rho, u)|^2. \]
The intensity distributions of the output light beam at a propagating distance $z$ along the optical axis can be calculated by equations (1)–(5). The calculated one-dimensional (1D) and two-dimensional (2D) intensity distributions of the focused light beam along the propagating direction are shown in figures 2(a)–(g). From our calculations, the waist diameter of the incident GLB is $D = 2.2 \text{ mm}$ (to compare with the experimental results below), the focal length of lens L$_2$ is $f = 200 \text{ mm}$, and the wavelength of the incident GLB is $\lambda = 632.8 \text{ nm}$.

As shown in figures 2(a)–(g), the intensity distribution of the light beam in the plane of $z = -39 \text{ mm}$ still displays a peak intensity in the center. But when the light beam is propagating to the position close to the focal plane of lens L$_2$, its center intensity gradually decreases. In the focal plane of lens L$_2$, the center intensity is nearly zero and a hollow beam is formed. When the propagating distance continues to move away from the focal plane, the intensity of the light beam center gradually increases. In the position $z = 30 \text{ mm}$, the light beam is transformed to a beam that again has a peak intensity. According to figures 2(a)–(g), we can see that the incident GLB is gradually transformed into a light beam with a dark region and surrounded by the higher intensity. The light beam is nearly symmetrical around the focal plane. As a result, a LHB is formed as desired. The calculated results also show that the maximum size of the dark region appears at the focal point of the lens.

In the experiment, we set the binary bitmap of the SLM as a $\pi$-phase plate (as shown in figure 1) on the computer. The experimental results detected by CCD camera can be seen in figures 2(h)–(n). From figures 2(h)–(n), the light beam exhibits a Gaussian distribution. It is then gradually transformed into a beam with a dark region in the center and expanded into a Gaussian beam in the far field along the optical axis. The experimental results also show that at $z = 0 \text{ mm}$, the central intensity of the light is close to zero, and the LHB is generated around the focal length of lens L$_2$. It is evident that the experimental results agree well with the theoretical results (shown in figures 2(a)–(g)) within the range of allowable error. To study the propagation characteristics of the LHB in free space, we define the special parameter, the dark spot size (DSS), as the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the radial-intensity distribution inside the notches of the LHB [1]. By analyzing the experimental data, the radius DSS, along the radial axis of the generated LHB is about $73.7 \mu \text{ m}$ and the radius DSS, along the axial axis is about $26.5 \text{ mm}$. The aspect ratio ($\epsilon = \text{DSS}_r/\text{DSS}_z$) is $\sim 360$.

When the LHB is used to trap neutral particles (such as atoms and molecules) its aspect ratio can be modulated. Based on the theoretical analysis above, we know that the DSS of the generated LHB is related to the waist diameter of the incident GLB and the focal length of lens L$_2$. The relationships can be described as below.

\begin{equation}
\text{The radial radius of the DSS} : \text{DSS}_r = k_1 \frac{f}{D} (\mu \text{m}) \quad (6)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\text{The axial radius of the DSS} : \text{DSS}_z = k_2 \left( \frac{f}{D} \right)^2 (\mu \text{m}) \quad (7)
\end{equation}

where $f$ is the focal length of lens L$_2$, $D$ is the waist diameter of the incident GLB, and $k_1$ and $k_2$ are characteristic parameters [37].

We then verify these two relationships from the experiments, and the results are shown in figure 3. In figure 3, the solid dots are the experimental data while the linear curves are the theoretical calculated results. The focal lengths of lens L$_2$ are chosen as $f = 100 \text{ mm}$, $150 \text{ mm}$, $200 \text{ mm}$, $250 \text{ mm}$ and $300 \text{ mm}$, while fixing the waist diameter of the incident GLB at $D = 2.2 \text{ mm}$. With the focal length increasing from $f = 100 \text{ mm}$ to $f = 300 \text{ mm}$ at intervals of $50 \text{ mm}$, DSS increases from $39 \mu \text{ m}$ to $110 \mu \text{ m}$ while DSS decreases from $6.6 \text{ mm}$ to $59.8 \text{ mm}$. We find that the radial DSS is proportional to $f/D$, while the axial DSS is proportional to $(f/D)^2$. The corresponding aspect ratio of the generated LHB is changed from $\epsilon \sim 169$ to $\epsilon \sim 543$. Figure 3 also shows that the longer the focal length, the larger the DSS of the generated LHB.
So, the volume of the dark region can be effectively re-sized by changing the focal length of the lens. We can also see that when the focal length $f$ of the lens is reduced from $f = 300$ mm to $100$ mm, the radial DSS of the LHB is decreased by three times, whereas its axial DSS is reduced by nine times. In our scheme, we can obtain a LHB with a certain dark spot size ($DSS_r$ and $DSS_z$) of dozens of microns in size to meet the needs of different applications. When the above novel LHB is blue-detuned, it can be used to trap cold atoms (or molecules) from a space-compressed magneto-optical trap [40, 41]. Because the trapped volume of cold atoms (or molecules) can be compressed efficiently by reducing the focal length of the lens or increasing the power of the trapping laser beam, the LHB can be used to cool the trapped atoms by Sisyphus cooling [43]. Also, the experimentally-formed LHB size in our scheme is very long, so this kind of long LHB has potential applications in particle manipulations, for example in the 2D trapping and 1D guiding of particles of large size [44, 45].

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have proposed and demonstrated a succinct approach to generate a LHB by using a SLM and a focal lens. The influence of the parameters is discussed, including the waist diameter of the incident GLB and the focal length on the size of the generated LHB. We also show that the radial DSS is proportional to $(f/D)$, while the axial DSS is proportional to $(f/D)^2$. Compared to the phase plates, the SLM is stable and easily controlled, adjusted and integrated. Such a LHB can be used to study the interactions of the LHB with cold atoms (molecules) and BEC; it may also be used to develop optical tweezers for manipulating nanoparticles.
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Figure 3. (a) The relationship of $f/D$ with the DSS, of the LHB in the radial direction. (b) The relationship of $(f/D)^2$ with the DSS, of the LHB in the axial direction. The dots are the experimental data and the lines are the theoretical results.
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