J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 50 (2017) 015001 (10pp)

Bichromatic slowing of MgF molecules in multilevel systems

Xiuxiu Yang¹, Chuanliang Li², Yanning Yin¹, Supeng Xu¹, Xingjia Li¹, Yong Xia^{1,3} and Jianping Yin¹

 ¹ State Key Laboratory of Precision Spectroscopy and Department of Physics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China
 ² Department of Physics, School of Applied Science, Taiyuan University of Science and Technology, Taiyuan 030024, Shanxi, People's Republic of China
 ³ NYU-ECNU Institute of Physics at NYU Shanghai, Shanghai, People's Republic of China

E-mail: yxia@phy.ecnu.edu.cn

Received 29 June 2016, revised 1 November 2016 Accepted for publication 8 November 2016 Published 6 December 2016



Abstract

We present a theoretical study of the bichromatic force exerted on magnesium monofluoride (MgF), in which we have considered the complex vibrational and rotational levels and the effects of small internal splittings and degeneracies, including fine and hyperfine structures and the magnetic quantum numbers. We calculate some parameters used in the MgF molecular transitions between $X^2\Sigma^+$, $A^2\Pi$ and $B^2\Sigma^+$ states. It is the first time that the radiative lifetimes of $B^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ and $B^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ have been derived by *ab initio* calculations. The detailed numerical modeling of bichromatic forces by direct numerical solution for the time-dependent density matrix is presented. Here, we propose a simplified numerical model to study the dynamic process of MgF slowing by neglecting the effect of the high vibrational levels, in which a skewed magnetic field is applied to destabilize the dark state. We deduce the relation between per-bichromatic-component irradiance *I* and the total Rabi frequency amplitude. We also compare our proposed simplified model with two other theoretical ones (Aldridge *et al* 2016 *Phys. Rev.* A **93** 013419). Monte Carlo simulations show that a buffer-gas-cooled MgF beam with a forward velocity of 120 m s⁻¹ can be decelerated nearly to several m/s within a distance of ~ 0.5 cm. Comparing with the $B \rightarrow X$ transition, we find that the $A \rightarrow X$ transition in MgF is more suitable for the bichromatic force cooling and slowing.

Keywords: bichromatic slowing, magnesium monofluoride, motion of density matrix, multilevel system

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

Laser cooling has been demonstrated with monochromatic light using both radiative and dipole forces, during which spontaneous emission is needed for energy and entropy removal [1, 2]. Recently, the controversial notion of laser cooling in the absence of spontaneous emission was demonstrated, and it was achieved by using bichromatic force (BF) on a He atomic transition with a relatively long excited state lifetime and a relatively short cooling time [3, 4]. The potential for the BF to cool should allow the extension of laser cooling to systems without closed cycling transitions, such as molecules. The BF arises from the coherent control of the momentum exchange between the atom (or molecule) and the laser fields associated with a long sequence of rapid absorption-stimulated emission cycles. BF experiments and numerical simulations based on optical Bloch equations have been demonstrated in several atom species for two-level systems [5–12]. In multilevel systems, we cannot directly utilize the theory in a two-level system to describe the BF exerted on molecules with internal degeneracies. In a molecule with internal splittings and degeneracies, each transition has different frequency and line strength, so the same laser irradiance cannot optimally drive each transition. In 2011

Eyler and coworkers examined the prospects for utilizing the optical BF to greatly enhance the radiative force on molecules [13]. In our 2015 paper we analyzed the BF on a MgF molecule, in which the analysis of the BF was based on twolevel calculations combined with statistical arguments, including a weighted degeneracy factor arising from the dark ground-state levels and a 'force reduction factor' due to variations in frequency shifts and line strength from level to level [14]. Nevertheless, these treatments are phenomenological and cannot account for multilevel coherent effects. In 2016 a quantitative treatment for BF in multilevel systems by direct numerical solution for the time-dependent density matrix in the rotating-wave approximation was proposed by Eyler [15]; they compared a full 16-level simulation for the CaF $B \rightarrow X$ system with a weighted subsystem-based numerical model and with a semiquantitative estimate based on two-level systems and they showed that a cryogenic buffergas-cooled beam of CaF with a forward velocity of 60 m s⁻¹ could be decelerated nearly to rest without a repumping laser.

The development of a molecular magneto-optical trap (MOT) should really mirror the huge historical success achieved by the atomic MOT [16, 17]. Additional slowing is required to load molecules into an MOT from beam source, due to the low capture velocity of a molecular MOT (<10 m s^{-1}). Many electro-optic modulator (EOM) sidebands (eight or so) were used to extend the SrF capture range of the slowing lasers, 6% of the initial flux at velocity $<50 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ were detected [18]. The longitudinal velocity of a YO beam was also decelerated to below 10 m s^{-1} by a mixing technique of a frequency modulated and chirped continuous-wave laser [19]. Frequency-broadened white-light slowing on CaF was demonstrated, and they observed approximately 6×10^4 CaF molecules with velocities near 10 m s⁻¹ [20]. Chirping the frequency of the light slowed the forward velocity of the CaF molecular beam [21, 22], a cryogenic pulsed beam was slowed to 15 m s⁻¹ and the flux was $\sim 8 \times 10^5$ molecules per cm^2 per pulse. In order to produce a diverse set of dense, ultracold diatomic molecular species, it would be interesting and worthwhile to explore how to deliver much larger numbers of slow molecules for loading into an MOT; nowadays bichromatic light force slowing is also a promising path.

We have selected MgF as a prototype molecule for laser cooling, concentrating primarily on its two lowermost electronic states, ground state $X^2 \Sigma_{1/2}^+$ and excited state $A^2 \Pi_{1/2}$ [14, 23, 24]. With just two lasers, one addressing the v = 0branch at 359.3 nm and the other repumping the v = 1 branch at 368.7 nm, each molecule will scatter an average of 10⁴ photons before decaying to a higher vibrational state. In addition, it has simple and specific hyperfine splitting due to electron spin (S = 1/2), nuclear rotation and nuclear spin (I = 1/2) interactions for the rotational N = 1 energy level of the electronic ground state of MgF.

In this paper we theoretically investigate the BF exerted on MgF using three models based on direct numerical solution for the time-dependent density matrix. In a real molecular transition, to accurately describe the interaction between molecules and lasers, high vibrational levels should be considered. For near-cycling transitions, we propose a simplified numerical model by neglecting the high vibrational levels which yields results very similar to the exact treatment in most cases. Our proposed model is also compared with a numerical model based on several lambda-type subsystems. We calculate the radiative lifetimes of the $B \rightarrow X$ and $B \rightarrow A$, the electric dipole matrix elements, and branching ratios for the $A \rightarrow X$ and $B \rightarrow X$ in MgF, in which we have noted that the eigenstates of F = N = 1 states in $X^2 \Sigma_{1/2}^+$ are of mixed and not pure J. We also deduce the relation between the Rabi frequency amplitude of each two-level transition and the defined quadrature sum of several Rabi frequency amplitudes, and the relation between per-bichromatic-component irradiance I and the total Rabi frequency amplitude. Monte Carlo simulations show that a cryogenic beam of MgF with a center velocity of 120 m s⁻¹ can be decelerated nearly to rest. Our study also shows that the $A \rightarrow X$ transition in MgF is more suitable for BF cooling and slowing, comparing to the $B \rightarrow X$ transition.

2. Magnesium monofluoride

The structure of the $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ (0-0) $Q_{12}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ branch is depicted in figure 1, including the fine and hyperfine sublevels. This transition has $\lambda = 359.3$ nm, $\tau = 7.2$ ns and the Franck–Condon factor f_{00} for this transition has been calculated to be 0.9978 [23]. Since S = 1/2 and I = 1/2, in the X state N = 1 manifold there are four levels characterized by the hyperfine quantum number F = 2, 1⁺, 1⁻, 0 and J is a poor quantum number due to mixing of two F = N = 1levels. In the X state N = 1 manifold, the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues for the hyperfine sublevels are calculated using the method in [25] and the details of the calculations are in the appendix. With the molecular constants for MgF listed in [26], we find

$$|F = 1^{+}, M\rangle = 0.6990|(J = 3/2)F = 1, M\rangle + 0.7151|(J = 1/2)F = 1, M\rangle, |F = 1^{-}, M\rangle = -0.7151|(J = 3/2)F = 1, M\rangle + 0.6990|(J = 1/2)F = 1, M\rangle,$$
(1)

Equation (1) will be applied to the calculations of the electricdipole matrix elements, branching ratios and magnetic-field Hamiltonian for MgF.

The electric-dipole matrix elements and branching ratios are calculated. The $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state is Hund's case (a) while the $X^2\Sigma_{1/2}^+$ state is Hund's case (b). The calculation is done in Hund's case (a) basis $|\Lambda, S, \Sigma, \Omega, J, I, F, M_F\rangle$, so all ground states should be converted to the Hund's case (a) basis. The excited state is one of positive parity and written in terms of basis states of signed Ω using equation (6.234) in [27]. Omitting the quantum numbers beyond *J*, this state is

$$|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| -1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right|,$$
 (2)

In $X^2 \Sigma_{1/2}^+$ state, the expansion of the ground states in Hund's



Figure 1. (a) A quasicycling transition for laser cooling of MgF. Solid upward lines indicate laser-driven transitions at the wavelength $\lambda_{\nu\nu'}$. Solid wavy lines indicate spontaneous decays from the $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state with FCFs $f_{\nu'\nu}$ as shown. (b) The structure of the $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ (0-0) $Q_{12}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ branch in MgF. The arrows indicate the allowed transitions for π -polarized light.

case (a) basis uses equation (6.149) in [27].

$$\begin{vmatrix} N = 1, J = \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \\ +\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \\ \end{vmatrix},$$
(3)

The electric-dipole operator is written in spherical tensor notation as $T^{(1)}(\mathbf{d})$. The electric dipole matrix elements can be calculated as below

$$m_{ij} = \langle i|T^{(1)}(d)|j\rangle = \sum_{p=-1}^{1} (-1)^{F'-M'_F} \begin{pmatrix} F' & 1 & F \\ -M'_F & p & M_F \end{pmatrix} \times (-1)^{F+J'+I+1} \sqrt{(2F'+1)(2F+1)}$$

$$\begin{cases} J & F & I \\ F' & J' & 1 \end{cases} \times \sum_{q=-1}^{1} (-1)^{J'-\Omega'} \sqrt{(2J'+1)(2J+1)} \times \begin{pmatrix} J' & 1 & J \\ -\Omega' & q & \Omega \end{pmatrix} \times \langle \Lambda', S, \Sigma'|T_q^{(1)}(d)|\Lambda, S, \Sigma \rangle.$$
(4)

The factor $\langle \Lambda', S, \Sigma' | T_q^{(1)}(d) | \Lambda, S, \Sigma \rangle$ in equation (4) is common to all the branches, so we can define a relative electric-dipole transition matrix element between states $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ as

$$r_{ij} = \frac{\langle i|T^{(1)}(d)|j\rangle}{\langle \Lambda', S, \Sigma'|T_q^{(1)}(d)|\Lambda, S, \Sigma\rangle}.$$
(5)

Taking into account that *J* is a poor quantum number due to mixing of two F = N = 1 levels, the obtained relative dipole transition matrix elements between the eigenfunctions are shown in table 1. The relative electric-dipole matrix elements for the $B^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^{+P}Q_{12}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ branch in MgF are also calculated using the similar method as shown in table 2.

Table 1. Electric dipole matrix elements for the $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ $Q_{12}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ branch in MgF, in units of the total $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ (0-0) band dipole transition moment.

	F'=0			F' = 1				
J	F	m_F	$m'_F = 0$	$m'_F = -1$	$m'_F = 0$	$m'_F = 1$		
3/2	2	-2	0	0.4082	0	0		
,		-1	0	-0.2887	0.2887	0		
		0	0	0.1667	-0.3333	0.1667		
		1	0	0	0.2887	-0.2887		
		2	0	0	0	0.4082		
3/2	1	-1	-0.1041	-0.4536	-0.4536	0		
		0	0.1041	0.4536	0	-0.4536		
		1	-0.1041	0	0.4536	0.4536		
1/2	1	-1	-0.5679	-0.2103	-0.2103	0		
		0	0.5679	0.2103	0	-0.2103		
		1	-0.5679	0	0.2103	0.2103		
1/2	0	0	0	0.4714	0.4714	0.4714		

Table 2. Electric dipole matrix elements for the $B^2\Sigma^+ \to X^2\Sigma^+ P_{212}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ branch in MgF, in units of the total $B^2\Sigma^+ \to X^2\Sigma^+$ (0-0) band dipole transition moment.

			F'=0	F' = 1				
J	F	m_F	$m'_F = 0$	$m'_F = -1$	$m'_F = 0$	$m'_F = 1$		
3/2	2	-2	0	-0.5774	0	0		
		-1	0	0.4082	-0.4082	0		
		0	0	-0.2357	0.4714	-0.2357		
		1	0	0	-0.4082	0.4082		
		2	0	0	0	-0.5774		
3/2	1	-1	-0.5679	-0.0736	-0.0736	0		
		0	0.5679	0.0736	0	-0.0736		
		1	-0.5679	0	0.0736	0.0736		
1/2	1	-1	0.1041	-0.4016	-0.4016	0		
		0	-0.1041	0.4016	0	-0.4016		
		1	0.1041	0	0.4016	0.4016		
1/2	0	0	0	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333		

This transition has $\lambda = 268.9$ nm and $\tau = 8.8$ ns, the Franck– Condon factor f_{00} for this transition has been calculated to be 0.9972, and the radiative lifetimes of $B^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ is 0.82 s⁴. The branching ratios are a measure of how the total intensity of a transition is distributed among the various rotational/ spin-rotational/hyperfine decay paths. Using the relation $\Gamma_{ij} = 16\pi^3 d_{ij}^2/(3h\lambda^3 \varepsilon_0)$, we can get the branching ratios for the entire set of decay channels which are the square of the relative electric dipole transition matrix elements.

3. BF and magnetic-field Hamiltonian

In realistic multilevel systems, such as a molecule with internal splittings and degeneracies, each transition has

⁴ The *ab initio* calculations are performed by Molpro2012 package, and the ro-vibrational levels are acquired by LEVEL 8.0 program through solving the ro-vibrational Schrödinger equation. Furthermore, radiative lifetimes of $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ (6.9 ns), $B^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ (8.8 ns) and $B^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ (0.82 s) are calculated using LEVEL 8.0 program as well.

different frequency and line strength, so the same laser irradiance cannot optimally drive each transition. In order to account for multilevel coherent effects, in 2016 a quantitative treatment for BF in multilevel systems by direct numerical solution for the time-dependent density matrix in the rotatingwave approximation was proposed by Eyler [15]. Based on a similar theoretical method, we study the theory of the BF on MgF in realistic multilevel systems. The relation between perbichromatic-component irradiance *I* and the total Rabi frequency amplitude is deduced. The dark states remixing is also studied by applying a magnetic field with magnitude B_0 at an angle $\theta_{\rm B}$ relative to the laser polarization.

3.1. Theory for BF in realistic multilevel systems

In the bichromatic field, assuming that a pair of monochromatic beams is symmetrically detuned from a carrier frequency ω by δ and both frequency components have the same amplitude E_0 , the electric field from the counter propagating beams aligned along the z-axis can be given by

$$E(z, t) = E_0 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i((k+\Delta k)z - (\omega+\delta)t)} + e^{i((k-\Delta k)z - (\omega-\delta)t)} + e^{i(-(k+\Delta k)z - (\omega+\delta)t)} + e^{i(-(k-\Delta k)z - (\omega-\delta)t)} \right\}.$$
(6)

Where $k = 2\pi/\lambda = \omega/c$ and $\Delta k = \delta/c$. Grouping terms, we can rewrite equation (6) as

$$E(z, t) = 4E_0 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\cos(kz)\cos(\delta t)\cos(\chi/2) + i\sin(kz)\sin(\delta t)\sin(\chi/2))e^{-i\omega t} + \frac{1}{2} (\cos(kz)\cos(\delta t)\cos(\chi/2) - i\sin(kz)\sin(\delta t)\sin(\chi/2))e^{i\omega t} \right\}.$$
 (7)

Where $\chi = 2\Delta kz = 2\delta z/c$ is the phase difference between the electric fields of the counterpropagating beat notes. If the slowing length satisfies the condition of $z \ll c/\delta$, the χ remains approximately constant. Each transition shown in table 1 is associated with a Rabi frequency defined by

$$\Omega_{ij}^{R}(z,t) \equiv \frac{d_{ij}E(z,t)}{\hbar} = \frac{r_{ij}dE(z,t)}{\hbar}.$$
(8)

Where d_{ij} is the electric-dipole transition matrix element between states $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$, and d is the total $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ (or $B^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$) dipole transition moment, $d = \langle \Lambda', S, \Sigma'|T_q^{(1)}(d)|\Lambda, S, \Sigma \rangle$. We can find that the same optical field can simultaneously drive multiple transitions at different Rabi frequencies with reference to table 1. The Rabi frequency Ω_{ij}^R can be written into two terms:

$$\Omega_{ij}^{R}(z,t) = \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{ij} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t} + \Omega_{ij}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t}).$$
(9)

Combining equations (7) and (8) we can find that

$$\Omega_{ij}(z, t) = \frac{4r_{ij}dE_0}{\hbar} (\cos(kz)\cos(\delta t)\cos(\chi/2) + i\sin(kz)\sin(\delta t)\sin(\chi/2)).$$
(10)

In which we define Rabi frequency amplitude Ω_{ii}^0 as

$$\Omega_{ij}^0 \equiv \frac{r_{ij} dE_0}{\hbar}.$$
(11)

The Hamiltonian for the multilevel system in the bichromatic field consists of the zero-field energies and the interaction energies between the system and the light field. This Hamiltonian is given by

$$\frac{H}{\hbar} = \left(\sum_{i} \omega_{e_{i}} |e_{i}\rangle \langle e_{i}| + \sum_{l} \omega_{g_{l}} |g_{l}\rangle \langle g_{l}| \right) - \left(\sum_{i,l} \Omega_{e_{i}g_{l}}^{R} |e_{i}\rangle \langle g_{l}| + \text{c.c} \right).$$
(12)

Here $|g_i\rangle$ is the ground state and $|e_i\rangle$ is the excited state.

The equations of motion of the density matrix, including the contribution from spontaneous emission, are given by

$$\dot{\rho} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\hbar} [H, \rho] + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{sp}.$$
(13)

In the rotating-wave approximation, the full set of equations of motion of density matrix of a multilevel system with an upper-state manifold of N_e levels and a lower-state manifold of N_g levels are given by

$$\begin{split} \dot{\rho}_{e_{i}e_{i}} &= \sum_{m} \mathrm{Im} \left[\Omega_{e_{i}g_{m}}^{*} \tilde{\rho}_{e_{i}g_{m}} \right] - \Gamma_{i} \rho_{e_{i}e_{i}} \\ \dot{\rho}_{e_{i}e_{j}} &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \left[2(\omega_{e_{j}} - \omega_{e_{i}}) \rho_{e_{i}e_{j}} - \sum_{m} (\Omega_{e_{j}g_{m}}^{*} \tilde{\rho}_{e_{i}g_{m}} - \Omega_{e_{i}g_{m}} \tilde{\rho}_{e_{j}g_{m}}^{*}) \right] \\ &- \frac{\Gamma_{i} + \Gamma_{j}}{2} \rho_{e_{i}e_{j}} \\ \dot{\rho}_{e_{i}g_{l}} &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \left[2(\omega + \omega_{g_{l}} - \omega_{e_{i}}) \tilde{\rho}_{e_{i}g_{l}} - \Omega_{e_{i}g_{l}} (\rho_{e_{i}e_{i}} - \rho_{g_{i}g_{l}}) \\ &+ \sum_{m\neq l} \Omega_{e_{i}g_{m}} \rho_{g_{m}g_{l}} - \sum_{k\neq i} \Omega_{e_{k}g_{l}} \rho_{e_{i}e_{k}} \right] - \frac{\Gamma_{i}}{2} \tilde{\rho}_{e_{i}g_{l}} \\ \dot{\rho}_{g_{l}g_{l}} &= \sum_{k} (-\mathrm{Im} [\Omega_{e_{k}g_{l}}^{*} \tilde{\rho}_{e_{k}g_{l}}] + \Gamma_{kl} \rho_{e_{k}e_{k}}) \\ \rho_{g_{l}g_{n}} &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \left[2(\omega_{g_{n}} - \omega_{g_{l}}) \rho_{g_{l}g_{n}} - \sum_{k} (\Omega_{e_{k}g_{n}} \tilde{\rho}_{e_{k}g_{l}}^{*} - \Omega_{e_{k}g_{l}}^{*} \tilde{\rho}_{e_{k}g_{n}}) \right]. \end{split}$$

Where $\tilde{\rho}_{e_i g_i} \equiv \rho_{e_i g_i} e^{i\omega t}$, Γ_i is the total decay rate of the excited state $|e_i\rangle$ and Γ_{kl} is a specific channel decay rate. Here we should know the sums over an excited state index (i, j, k) from 1 to N_e and a ground state index (l, m, n) from 1 to N_g . Numerical solutions to this set of equations are computed using the built-in numerical differential equation solver in MatLab. The average force on the multilevel system is given by

$$F(r, t) = -Tr(\rho \nabla H) = \hbar \sum_{i,l} \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{e_i g_l} \nabla \Omega^*_{e_i g_l}\right).$$
(15)



Figure 2. The Rabi oscillations of the $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ (0-0) $Q_{12}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ branch in MgF for π -polarized light. Relative dipole transition matrix elements are indicated for each transition.

3.2. Rabi frequency and laser intensity in multilevel systems

In the two-level systems, the optimal Rabi frequency amplitude is $\Omega = \sqrt{3/2} \delta$ and the corresponding laser irradiance for each traveling wave is given by

$$I = 2I_s \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Gamma}\right)^2 = 3I_s \left(\frac{\delta}{\Gamma}\right)^2.$$
 (16)

Where $I_s = \pi h c \Gamma / (3\lambda^3)$ is the saturation irradiance and Γ is the upper-level decay rate. Whereas in the multilevel systems each of the lower states can have a different electric dipole coupling to a given upper level as shown in table 1. So the same optical field can simultaneously drive multiple transitions at different Rabi frequencies. For the given bichromatic detunings δ , to find the optimal laser irradiance, we need to utilize a total Rabi frequency amplitude Ω^{tot} to describe our system. The Ω^{tot} is defined as the quadrature sum of the each Rabi amplitude,

$$\Omega^{\text{tot}} = \sqrt{(\Omega^0_{e_j g_1})^2 + (\Omega^0_{e_j g_2})^2 + \cdots}.$$
 (17)

From equations (11) and (17) we can express each Rabi amplitude as

$$\Omega_{e_j g_m}^0 = \frac{r_{e_j g_m}}{\sqrt{\sum_m (r_{e_j g_m})^2}} \Omega^{\text{tot}}.$$
(18)

Here, the index *m* denotes all possible Rabi oscillations for the excited state $|e_i\rangle$ for π -polarized light in our work.

Using the relations $I = (1/2)\varepsilon_0 c E_0^2$ and

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{3\pi\varepsilon_0 \hbar c^3} \sum_p \omega_{jp}^3 d_{jp}^2, \qquad (19)$$

we can obtain

$$I = \frac{\pi h c \Gamma}{3 \lambda^3} \frac{1}{f_{00} \sum_m r_{jm}^2} \frac{2 (\Omega^{\text{tot}})^2}{\Gamma^2}.$$
 (20)

Here, Γ is the spontaneous decay rate of the upper level, ω_{jp} is the resonance frequency between the excited state $|e_j\rangle$ and the ground state $|g_p\rangle$, the index *p* denotes all possible final states in the decay of the excited state. We have made the approximation that $\omega_{jp} = 2\pi c/\lambda$ for all final states. In the numerical

simulations we can find the optimal Ω^{tot} to determine the required laser irradiance *I*.

3.3. Magnetic-field Hamiltonian

From figure 1 and table 1 we can find that the dark states exist in the ground state $X^2 \Sigma_{1/2}^+$ ($\nu = 0$, N = 1), in which F = 2, $m = \pm 2$ these two states are dark states for π -polarized light. In the absence of a remixing mechanism, population will accumulate in these dark states and cycling will cease, which causes the BF to rapidly diminish to zeros. Here, we apply a magnetic field with magnitude B_0 at an angle θ_B relative to the laser polarization to remix dark states.

In the presence of an external magnetic field B, the Zeeman Hamiltonian is well approximated by, in the space-fixed axis system,

$$H_z = g_s \mu_{\rm B} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{B} + g_{\rm L} \mu_{\rm B} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{B} - g_{\rm I} \mu_{\rm N} \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{B}.$$
 (21)

Here the electron g-factor is $g_s \approx 2.002$, the electron orbital g-factor is $g_L \approx 1$, and the nuclear g-factor is $g_I \approx 5.585$; μ_B is the Bohr magneton, and μ_N is the nuclear magneton. Since $\mu_N/\mu_B \approx 1/1836$, the last term can be neglected and the Zeeman Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$H_{z} = \sum_{m,n} \langle g_{m} | g_{s} \mu_{B} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{B} + g_{L} \mu_{B} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{B} | g_{n} \rangle + \sum_{i,j} \langle e_{i} | g_{s} \mu_{B} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{B} + g_{L} \mu_{B} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{B} | e_{j} \rangle.$$
(22)

Here magnetic coupling between ground states and excited states is neglected because this coupling is small compared with optical coupling. For the $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ transition, mixing caused by magnetic fields in the excited state is negligible since the $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state lacks a magnetic moment. The magnetic Hamiltonian in equation (22) can be evaluated using equations (A4) and (A5) in the appendix. We should note that the eigenstates of F = N = 1 states in $X^2\Sigma_{1/2}^+$ are of mixed and not pure *J*. The applied skewed magnetic field will cause Larmor precession of the ground-state Zeeman sublevels. This precession will act to mix the bright states with the dark states so that the dark state destabilization is realized.

4. Numerical calculations and Monte Carlo simulations

A simplified numerical model is proposed to study the dynamic process of MgF slowing by neglecting the effect of the high vibrational levels, in which a skewed magnetic field is applied to destabilize dark state. We compare our proposed model (a) with two other theoretical ones (b) and (c) in [15]. The method (a) can estimate the BF for near-cycling transition which has the same accuracy as method (b), and it is not only simpler, but also the computational time needed in method (a) is much less than that in method (b).

4.1. Model (a): a full 16-level simulation

We firstly use the simplified modeling without considering the high vibrational levels but the effects of small internal



Figure 3. Simulations of the BF for the 16-level $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ (0-0) $Q_{12}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ branch in MgF for π -polarized light. The magnitude and velocity range of the force increase with larger bichromatic detuning.

splittings and degeneracies are considered, including fine structure and hyperfine structure as well as the magnetic quantum numbers, as shown in figure 1. In the modeling, a full 16-level simulation of the system is carried out (for $X^2\Sigma_{1/2}^+$ (v = 0, N = 1), 12 magnetic sublevels; for $A^2\Pi_{1/2}$ (v' = 0, J' = 1/2), four magnetic sublevels). To obtain the optimum BF, we first should investigate the influences of the main parameters on force.

From table 1 and figure 2, we can find that for each of the four sublevels in the excited state, the factor $\sum r_{jm}^2$ in equation (20) is 1/3 for π -polarized light, so the same laser irradiance can drive all transitions with the same Ω^{tot} . Using the optimal χ from the two-level systems and the optimal Ω^{tot} referred to [15], which has been verified in our work, the remaining parameters, including the magnitude and angle of the magnetic field and carrier frequency detuning from the transition center of mass of the system (CM), are surveyed within several velocities near zeros, in order to find optimal parameters. Our simulation results show that the optimal magnitude and angle of the magnetic field are not unique. Using those optimal parameter values at each of $\delta = 15\Gamma$, 25Γ , 50Γ , simulations across the full velocity range are carried out as shown in figure 3, in which the $\Gamma/k = 7.9 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for the $A \rightarrow X$ transition in MgF. The velocity capture range and the velocity-averaged force near zero are summarized in table 3 in the columns labeled as method (a).

4.2. Method (b): a 16-level simulation adding a high vibrational level

In a real molecular transition, the high vibrational levels should be taken into account because in the actual optical cycles the molecule will be lost into some inaccessible high vibrational levels. For the $A \rightarrow X$ transition, the Franck–Condon factor f_{00} of 0.9978 means that the molecules will be lost to out-of-system in hundreds of spontaneous decay cycles without the repumping lasers. Therefore, a 16-level

simulation adding a high vibrational level should be carried out and this model evaluating the BF on molecule is more accurate [15]. Clearly the available interaction time is constrained by out-of-system radiative decays, hence a continuous repumping laser field should be used to transfer population from the dark state to the same excited state used by the cycling BF transition. Using the optimal parameter values from the simplified model at each bichromatic detuning, the BF as a function of repumping laser Rabi frequency is surveyed. For each of the several detunings δ in the figure 4, there is an optimum value for the repumping laser irradiance and the optimum repumping Rabi frequency is about $0.2\sqrt{\delta\Gamma}$. Here the integral time of computation of the timedependence of the density matrix is about 10 μ s, with longer integral time the amplitude of the BF will be to zero without repumping laser but it will be almost unchanged under the repumping laser irradiance. Taking into account that it will take too much time to complete the computation for longer integral time, the integral time is set to a suitable value of 10 μ s. Then, using those parameters, simulations across the full velocity range are carried out and the calculated results are summarized in table 3 in the columns labeled as method (b).

4.3. Method (c): a weighted subsystem-based multilevel simulations

In this paper, another more simplified modeling method to evaluate the BF on the MgF is carried out. Using this modeling method, the computation of the time-dependence of the density matrix requires much less time compared with the other two modelings without giving up a great deal of accuracy. As shown in figure 2, no ground-state sublevel is lasercoupled to more than one excited-state sublevel, so that the entire system can be viewed as two three-level and two fourlevel lambda-type subsystems [15]. In this modeling, we think these four subsystems are independent by neglecting the radiative coupling between them and proportionally increase the decay rates within each subsystem to compensate for the neglected decays. Using the optimal Ω^{tot} and χ at each of $\delta = 10\Gamma$, 15Γ , 25Γ and 50Γ , each of the four subsystems is independently simulated for a range of BF carrier frequencies. Then the results from each subsystem are combined together with a weighting given by the fraction of the ground state sublevels included in each subsystem. Figure 5 shows the calculated averaged force with near-zero velocities as a function of the BF carrier frequencies and indicates there is an optimum in the carrier frequencies for each of the detunings δ . As shown in figure 5, the averaged force peaks at a carrier frequency detuned from the hyperfine center of mass, at a location near the F = 0. This carrier frequency is compromise between two three-level subsystems and two four-level subsystems. Because transitions from $F = 1^{-}$ are the strongest transitions in the three-level subsystems but in the four-level subsystems transitions from $F = 1^+$ are the strongest transitions. Using the optimal carrier frequencies, simulations across the full velocity range are carried out and the calculated results are summarized in table 3 in the columns labeled as method (c).

Table 3. A summary of results for three different methods of estimating the BF for π -polarized light in the 16-level $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+ Q_{12}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ system in MgF. The methods are (a) a full 16-level simulation without considering the high vibrational levels, including dark state destabilization by a dc magnetic field, (b) a 16-level simulation adding a high vibrational level, including dark state destabilization by a DC magnetic field and a repumping laser, and (c) a weighted subsystem-based multilevel simulations.

	$\delta = 15\Gamma$				$\delta = 25I$	- -	$\delta = 50\Gamma$		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)
Irradiance (W cm ⁻²)	127	127	127	351	351	351	1405	1405	1405
Carrier detuning from CM (MHz)	20	20	80	20	20	80	-10	-10	70
Magnetic field magnitude (Guass)	25	25		30	30		60	60	
Magnetic field angle (°)	65	65		65	65	_	60	60	
Force near zero velocity (hk $\Gamma/4\pi$)	1.97	1.87	1.35	3.46	3.31	2.66	6.65	6.34	4.66
Velocity range (m s^{-1})	150	150	140	230	230	220	360	360	320
Average excited fraction	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.12	0.08
Time to slow by 120 m s ^{-1} (ms)	1.09	1.08	1.01	0.55	0.57	0.67	0.38	0.38	0.87
Slowing length (cm)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.35	0.35	0.4	0.22	0.22	0.55
Fully-slowed population fraction (%)	6.04	6.23	6.22	12.1	11.9	11.3	15.6	15.5	12.2



Figure 4. The BF as a function of the repumping laser Rabi frequency.



Figure 5. A weighted average of the force on isolated subsystems of the (0-0) $Q_{12}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ branch in MgF for π -polarized light. It indicates the optimal carrier frequency detuning at each of δ .

Comparing the results for three different methods estimating the BF in the 16-level $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+ Q_{12}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ system in MgF, as shown in table 3, we can find that the calculated results using methods (a) and (b) are almost consistent in the velocity range and force as well as average excited state fraction. However, the calculations using method (a) are not only simpler, but the computational time needed in method (a) is much less than that in method (b). It is also clear that the subsystem approach consistently underestimates the force and velocity range as well as average excited state fraction.

Without the repumping laser, the molecules will be lost to out-of-system in hundreds of spontaneous decay cycles in MgF. The characteristic out-of-system decay time can be evaluated by

$$T = \frac{\tau_A}{(1 - f_{00})P_e}.$$
 (23)

Where τ_A is the radiative decay lifetime of the A state, P_e is the average excited state fraction. The P_e can be attained by averaging the calculated excited state fraction over the velocity range in which the force is large. The result is $P_e \approx 1/8$ and we can estimate an out-of-system decay time of about 25 μ s. Actually, in our calculations we find the out-of-system decay time is about 100 μ s. Because when the integral time is set to 100 μ s, at last, the BF will be to zero without a repumping laser.

4.4. Slowing of the MgF molecular beam

The source of MgF in our simulations is a cryogenic buffer gas cell [28], the final output diameter of molecular beam is 1.5 mm and the transverse velocity is $\pm 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ after two times collimation [29]. Five cm after the collimating aperture, the beam enters the bichromatic-force slowing and cooling region. We assume that the MgF in the beam has a forward velocity of $v_{||} = 120 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ with a spread of $\Delta v_{||} \approx 40 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. In the longitudinal slowing of MgF molecular beam, the bichromatic laser beams are tightly focused to waists with a

Table 4. The comparison of results in the sixteen-level $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+ Q_{12}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ system and $B^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+ P_{212}(0.5)/P_{11}(1.5)$ system in MgF at detuning δ of 10 Γ . For each of the systems, Γ is the spontaneous decay rate of the upper level, respectively.

$\delta = 10\Gamma$	$A^2\Pi \to X^2\Sigma^+$			$B^2\Sigma^+ \to X^2\Sigma^+$			
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)	
Irradiance (W cm ⁻²)	56.2	56.2	56.2	109.8	109.8	109.8	
Carrier detuning from CM (MHz)	50	50	60	-10	-10	-70	
Magnetic field magnitude (Guass)	15	15	_	20	20	_	
Magnetic field angle (°)	65	65	_	50	50	_	
Force near zero velocity (hk $\Gamma/4\pi$)	1.28	1.21	0.88	0.92	0.86	1.06	
Velocity range (m s^{-1})	115	115	100	63	66	75	
Average excited fraction	0.152	0.145	0.11	0.18	0.17	0.14	
Time to slow by 60 m s ^{-1} (ms)	0.71	0.71	0.63	0.83	0.82	1.19	
Slowing length(cm)	0.35	0.35	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	
Fully-slowed population fraction	6.87%	6.89%	6.65%	3.32%	3.75%	3.16%	

X Yang et al

top-hat diameter of 1 mm to provide the required irradiance. Using the BF with a detuning of $\delta = 15\Gamma$, a cryogenic buffergas-cooled beam of MgF can be decelerated nearly to 4 m s⁻¹ within a longitudinal distance of about 0.5 cm and the efficiency is about 6.23% with respect to the source of MgF, taking into account that the molecular beam flies free for a distance of 5 cm before it is illuminated by bichromatic lasers. Under this condition using equation (20), the laser irradiance for each traveling wave is 127 W cm^{-2} and the corresponding laser power is 0.994 W. The time to slow is about 1.08 ms but the out-of-system decay time is about 100 μ s, so the repumping laser should be added. Using these three different methods at each of $\delta = 15\Gamma$, 25Γ and 50Γ , estimated values for the key properties relevant to longitudinal slowing of MgF molecular beam are summarized in table 3. When the laser irradiances for each traveling wave are 351 W cm⁻² and 1405 W cm $^{-2}$, respectively, the corresponding laser powers is 2.757 W and 11.035 W. With the limitation of the laser technique, nowadays the required powers for the δ of 25 Γ and 50Γ cannot be realized. But these results indicate that as long as the required laser irradiance is available, the BF can provide larger force and much better slowing results.

4.5. Comparison of $A \rightarrow X$ system and $B \rightarrow X$ system in MgF

We also compare the results of the $A \rightarrow X$ system and the $B \rightarrow X$ system in MgF at detuning δ of 10 Γ , as shown in table 4. For each of the systems, Γ is the spontaneous decay rate of the upper level. The saturation irradiance of $B \rightarrow X$ system is almost twice as much as that of $A \rightarrow X$ system, so laser irradiance for each traveling wave of $B \rightarrow X$ system is almost twice that of the $A \rightarrow X$ system. In addition, we find that the velocity range in $A \rightarrow X$ system is larger than that in $B \rightarrow X$ system because the velocity range is proportional to δ/k . However, in the $A \to X$ system $\Gamma/k = 7.9$ m s⁻¹, but in the $B \to X$ system $\Gamma/k = 4.9$ m s⁻¹. The deceleration efficiency in the $A \to X$ system is also twice that of the $A \to X$ system when the MgF molecular beam is slowed by 60 m s^{-1} .

From these results, we can find that the $A \rightarrow X$ transition in MgF is more suitable for the BF cooling and slowing.

5. Summary and conclusions

We have examined the possibility using optical BF to decelerate a MgF molecular beam in realistic multilevel systems. In conclusion:

- (1) We have demonstrated that it is feasible to evaluate the BF by direct numerical solution for the density matrix. We show that a repumping laser and a small skewed magnetic field can be effective to realize a substantial longitudinal slowing. We find the relation between the per-bichromatic-component irradiance I and the quadrature sum of the Rabi frequency amplitudes of the addressed transitions which should be equal to $\sqrt{3/2}\delta$.
- (2) In this paper the $A^2\Pi \to X^2\Sigma^+$ system in MgF has been studied in detail, in which we have treated the system as three different theoretical models. From the comparison of methods (a) and (b), we can find that the repumping laser is effective to repopulate the cycling system from the dark state and method (a) can estimate the BF for near-cycling transition which has the same accuracy as method (b). Our method (a) is not only simpler, but the computational time needed in method (a) is much less than that in method (b). The results show that the required laser power is attainable and the magnitude of the force is larger than the radiative force. A cryogenic MgF molecular beam can be decelerated nearly to 4 m s⁻¹, which can be captured by molecular MOT.
- (3) We also calculate the BF for the $B^2\Sigma^+ \to X^2\Sigma^+$ system in MgF. With the limitation of the saturation irradiance I_s and the value of Γ/k , we find that the $A \rightarrow X$ transition in MgF is more suitable for BF cooling and slowing. It indicates to us that an atomic or

molecular system with larger Γ/k and smaller $\pi hc\Gamma/(3\lambda^3)$ is more appropriate to be a candidate for BF slowing.

Optical BF may be an effective tool to rapidly slow and cool the molecules and complex atoms in the future as long as the required laser irradiance is available to drive the BF with large detunings. It also paves the way for optical slowing and loading of a diverse set of dense, ultracold diatomic species into a molecular MOT [21, 30-32].

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants 11374100, 11504256, 91536218, 11274114, and the outstanding doctoral dissertation cultivation plan of action of ECNU under Grant No. PY2015026.

Appendix

In Hund's case (b) basis $|(NS)J, I; F, M\rangle$, the states in the ground state $X^2\Sigma^+$ can be abbreviated to $|(J)F, M\rangle$. For the F = 2, 0 state, the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues can be simply given. For the remaining two states F = N = 1, the eigenfunctions are given by

$$|F = 1^{\pm}, M\rangle = a^{\pm}|(J = 3/2)F = 1, M\rangle$$

+ $b^{\pm}|(J = 1/2)F = 1, M\rangle$, (A1)

In which the coefficients a^{\pm} and b^{\pm} have the relationship as below

$$\frac{a^{\pm}}{b^{\pm}} = -\frac{\langle (J=3/2)F=1, M|H|(J=1/2)F=1, M\rangle}{\langle (J=3/2)F=1, M|H|(J=3/2)F=1, M\rangle - E_{1^{\pm}}},$$
(A2)

In which the eigenvalues are

$$E_{1^{\pm}} = -\frac{1}{4}(\gamma + b + C)$$

$$\pm \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{9(\gamma - C)^{2} + (2b + c - 2C)(2b + c - 2\gamma)},$$

(A3)

where γ is the spin-rotation constant, *b* is the hyperfine constant, *c* is the dipole-dipole constant and *C* is the nuclear spin-rotation constant. The constant *C* is negligibly small for our purposes but is included for completeness. Taking into account that the $X^2\Sigma_{1/2}^+$ state is Hund's case (b), the Zeeman Hamiltonian can be written in a Hund's case (b) basis $(|\Lambda, N, S, J, I, F, M_F\rangle$). The matrix elements of the two terms in equation (22) in a Hund's case (b) basis are as follows,

$$\langle \eta, \Lambda; N, S, J, I, F, M_F | \sum_{p=-1}^{1} g_S \mu_B (-1)^p T_{-p}^1 (B) T_p^1 (S) \\ \times |\eta, \Lambda; N, S, J', I, F', M_F' \rangle \\ = \sum_{p=-1}^{1} g_S \mu_B (-1)^p T_{-p}^1 (B) (-1)^{F-M_F} \begin{pmatrix} F & 1 & F' \\ -M_F & p & M_F' \end{pmatrix} \\ \times (-1)^{F'+J+I+1} \{ (2F'+1)(2F+1) \}^{1/2} \\ \begin{cases} F & J & I \\ J' & F' & 1 \end{cases} (-1)^{J+N+1+S} \{ (2J'+1)(2J+1) \}^{1/2} \\ \times \begin{cases} J & S & N \\ S & J' & 1 \end{cases} \{ S (S+1)(2S+1) \}^{1/2}. \end{cases}$$
(A4)

$$\begin{split} \langle \eta, \Lambda; N, S, J, I, F, M_{F} | \sum_{p=-1}^{1} g_{L} \mu_{B} (-1)^{p} T_{-p}^{1} (B) T_{p}^{1} (L) \\ & \times |\eta, \Lambda; N', S, J', I, F', M_{F}' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{p=-1}^{1} g_{L} \mu_{B} (-1)^{p} T_{-p}^{1} (B) (-1)^{F-M_{F}} \begin{pmatrix} F & 1 & F' \\ -M_{F} & p & M_{F}' \end{pmatrix} \\ & \times (-1)^{F'+J+I+1} \{ (2F'+1) (2F+1) \}^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} F & J & I \\ J' & F' & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ (-1)^{J'+N+1+S} \{ (2J'+1) (2J+1) \}^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} J & N & S \\ N' & J' & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \times (-1)^{N-\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} N & 1 & N' \\ -\Lambda & 0 & \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \{ (2N+1) (2N'+1) \}^{1/2} \Lambda. \end{split}$$
(A5)

Here the magnetic field B and electronic orbital angular momentum N and electron spin S are written in the first-rank spherical tensor.

References

- Wineland D J, Drullinger R E and Walls F L 1978 Radiationpressure cooling of bound resonant absorbers *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 40 1639
- [2] Phillips W and Metcalf H 1982 Laser deceleration of an atomic beam *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 48 596
- [3] Corder C, Arnold B and Metcalf H 2015 Laser cooling without spontaneous emission *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114 043002
- [4] Corder C, Arnold B, Hua X and Metcalf H 2015 Laser cooling without spontaneous emission using the bichromatic force *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* B 32 B75
- [5] Grimm R, Ovchinnikov Y B, Sidorov A I and Letokhov V S 1990 Observation of a strong rectified dipole force in a bichromatic standing light wave *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 65 1415
- [6] Soding J, Grimm R, Ovchinnikov Y B, Bouyer P and Salomon C 1997 Short-distance atomic beam deceleration with a stimulated light force *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78 1420
- [7] Williams M R, Chi F, Cashen M T and Metcalf H 1999 Measurement of the bichromatic optical force on Rb atoms *Phys. Rev.* A 60 R1763
- [8] Williams M R, Chi F, Cashen M T and Metcalf H 2000 Bichromatic force measurements using atomic beam deflections *Phys. Rev.* A 61 023408
- [9] Partlow M, Miao X, Bochmann J, Cashen M and Metcalf H 2004 Bichromatic slowing and collimation to make an intense helium beam *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** 213004

- [10] Yatsenko L and Metcalf H 2004 Dressed-atom description of the bichromatic force *Phys. Rev.* A 70 063402
- [11] Chieda M A and Eyler E E 2012 Bichromatic slowing of metastable helium *Phys. Rev.* A 86 053415
- [12] Galica S E, Aldridge L and Eyler E E 2013 Four-color stimulated optical forces for atomic and molecular slowing *Phys. Rev.* A 88 043418
- [13] Chieda M A and Eyler E E 2011 Prospects for rapid deceleration of small molecules by optical bichromatic forces *Phys. Rev.* A 84 063401
- [14] Dai D P, Xia Y, Fang Y F, Xu L, Yin Y N, Li X J, Yang X X and Yin J P 2015 Efficient stimulated slowing and cooling of the magnesium fluoride molecular beam *J. Phys.* B 48 085302
- [15] Aldridge L, Galica S E and Eyler E E 2016 Simulations of the bichromatic force in multilevel systems *Phys. Rev.* A 93 013419
- [16] Raab E L, Prentiss M, Cable A, Chu S and Pritchard D E 1987 Trapping of neutral sodium atoms with radiation pressure *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59** 2631
- [17] Barry J F, McCarron D J, Norrgard E B, Steinecker M H and DeMille D 2014 Magneto-optical trapping of a diatomic molecule *Nature* 512 286
- [18] Barry J F, Shuman E S, Norrgard E B and DeMille D 2012 Laser radiation pressure slowing of a molecular beam *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** 103002
- [19] Yeo M, Hummon M T, Collopy A L, Yan B, Hemmerling B, Chae E, Doyle J M and Ye J 2015 Rotational state microwave mixing for laser cooling of complex diatomic molecules *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** 223003
- [20] Hemmerling B, Chae E, Ravi A, Anderegg L, Drayna G K, Hutzler N R, Collopy A L, Ye J, Ketterle W and Doyle J M 2016 Laser slowing of CaF molecules to near the capture velocity of a molecular MOT J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 49 174001
- [21] Zhelyazkova V, Cournol A, Wall T E, Matsushima A, Hudson J J, Hinds E A, Tarbutt M R and Sauer B E 2014 Laser cooling and slowing of CaF molecules *Phys. Rev.* A 89 053416

- [22] Truppe S, Williams H, Fitch N, Hambach M, Wall T E, Hinds E A, Sauer B E and Tarbutt M R 2016 A bright, cold, velocity-controlled molecular beam by frequency-chirped laser slowing (arXiv:1605.06055)
- [23] Xu L, Yin Y N, Wei B, Xia Y and Yin J P 2016 Calculation of vibrational branching ratios and hyperfine structure of ²⁴Mg¹⁹F and its suitability for laser cooling and magnetooptical trapping *Phys. Rev.* A **93** 013408
- [24] Yin Y N, Xia Y, Li X J, Yang X X, Xu S P and Yin J P 2015 Narrow-linewidth and stable-frequency light source for laser cooling of magnesium fluoride molecules *Applied Physics Express* 8 092701
- [25] Sauer B E, Wang J and Hinds E A 1996 Laser-rf double resonance spectroscopy of ¹⁷⁴YbF in the $X^2\Sigma^+$ state: Spinrotation, hyperfine interactions, and the electric dipole moment *J. Chem. Phys.* **105** 7412
- [26] Anderson M A, Allen M D and Ziurys L M 1994 Millimeterwave spectroscopy of MgF: Structure and bonding in alkaline-earth monofluoride radicals J. Chem. Phys. 100 824
- [27] Brown J and Carrington A 2003 Rotational Spectroscopy of Diatomic Molecules (New York: Cambridge University Press)
- [28] Hutzler N R, Lu H and Doyle J M 2012 The buffer gas beam: an intense, cold, and slow source for atoms and molecules *Chem. Rev.* **112** 4803
- [29] Shuman E S, Barry J F and DeMille D 2010 Laser cooling of a diatomic molecule,' *Nature* 467 820
- [30] Hummon M T, Yeo M, Stuhl B K, Collopy A L, Xia Y and Ye J 2013 2D magneto-optical trapping of diatomic molecules *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 143001
- [31] Tarallo M G, Iwata G Z and Zelevinsky T 2016 BaH molecular spectroscopy with relevance to laser cooling *Phys. Rev.* A 93 032509
- [32] Kozyryev I, Baum L, Matsuda K, Hemmerling B and Doyle J M 2016 Radiation pressure force from optical cycling on a polyatomic molecule J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 49 134002