nature chemistry

Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-023-01230-0

Ultrafast formation dynamics of D_3^+ from the light-driven bimolecular reaction of the D_2 - D_2 dimer

Received: 11 August 2022

Accepted: 4 May 2023

Published online: 1 June 2023

Check for updates

Lianrong Zhou \mathbb{O}^1 , Hongcheng Ni $\mathbb{O}^{1,2}$, Zhejun Jiang¹, Junjie Qiang¹, Wenyu Jiang¹, Wenbin Zhang¹, Peifen Lu¹, Jin Wen $\mathbb{O}^3 \boxtimes$, Kang Lin $\mathbb{O}^4 \boxtimes$, Meifang Zhu³, Reinhard Dörner \mathbb{O}^4 & Jian Wu $\mathbb{O}^{1,2,5,6} \boxtimes$

The light-driven formation of trihydrogen cation has been attracting considerable attention because of its important role as an initiator of chemical reactions in interstellar clouds. To understand the formation dynamics, most previous studies focused on creating H_3^+ or D_3^+ from unimolecular reactions of various organic molecules. Here we observe and characterize the ultrafast formation dynamics of D_3^+ from a bimolecular reaction, using pump-probe experiments that employ ultrashort laser pulses to probe its formation from a D_2 - D_2 dimer. Our molecular dynamics, which agree well with the experimental observation. We also show that the emission direction of D_3^+ can be controlled using a tailored two-colour femtosecond laser field. The underlying control mechanism is in line with what is known from the light control of electron localization in the bond breaking of single molecules.

When exposed to a strong laser field, molecular potential surfaces suffer serious deformation, leading to chemical bond cleavage and formation. This gives rise to new reaction products, even in unimolecular reactions. The light-driven formation dynamics of the trihydrogen cation H_3^+ (the simplest but most important triatomic ion) and its isotope D_3^+ have attracted much attention in the past two decades¹⁻¹⁴ due to the important role of H_3^+ as the initiator for most chemical reactions in interstellar clouds¹⁵⁻¹⁸, including those leading to water and organic molecules. For almost one century, it has generally been believed that the formation of this trihydrogen cation in interstellar molecules¹⁹ (that is, $H_2^+ + H_2 \rightarrow H_3^+ + H$). This reaction is regarded as the start of ion-neutral reaction chains in interstellar clouds. Previous experimental studies of this bimolecular reaction were mostly aimed at obtaining the kinetic

energy and angular distribution of the products, the reaction rate coefficients and the state-selective cross-sections²⁰⁻²². However, the time information of trihydrogen cation formation dynamics was obscured in the beam and spectroscopy measurements²³⁻²⁸.

Recently, the ultrafast formation dynamics of the trihydrogen cation from a laser-induced unimolecular reaction in organic molecules have attracted much attention⁷⁻¹² since an unambiguous time trigger of the reaction can be identified. Rich formation dynamics were revealed, including H₂ molecule roaming^{12,13} and hydrogen atom migration^{28,29}. Although these works provide abundant information to aid our understanding of the formation dynamics of trihydrogen cations from the fragmentation of large organic molecules, a more concerning reaction in the interstellar clouds would be to produce H₃⁺ or D₃⁺ from simpler elements or molecules rather than the opposite.

¹State Key Laboratory of Precision Spectroscopy, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China. ²Collaborative Innovation Center of Extreme Optics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, China. ³State Key Laboratory for Modification of Chemical Fibers and Polymer Materials, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Donghua University, Shanghai, China. ⁴Institut für Kernphysik, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main, Frankfurt, Germany. ⁵Chongqing Key Laboratory of Precision Optics, Chongqing Institute of East China Normal University, Chongqing, China. ⁶CAS Center for Excellence in Ultra-intense Laser Science, Shanghai, China. <u>Me-mail: jinwen@dhu.edu.cn; lin@atom.uni-frankfurt.de; jwu@phy.ecnu.edu.cn</u> Very recently, trihydrogen cation formation from water molecules attached to nanoparticle surfaces was observed¹⁴, which serves as a catalytic environment to boost trihydrogen cation formation. However, there are still many relevant questions to be answered. Can this reaction happen without the catalysis of nanoparticles? How fast is the bimolecular reaction to produce trihydrogen cations compared with the unimolecular reaction in organic molecules? And most importantly, can we manipulate the trihydrogen cation formation dynamics in the bimolecular reaction?

In this Article, we investigate the ultrafast formation dynamics of D_3^+ from a bimolecular reaction of a gas phase D_2 - D_2 dimer driven by ultrashort laser pulses. We find that D_3^+ can be created from a single D₂-D₂ dimer without any interaction with the surrounding environment (for example, nanoparticles¹⁴ or analagous nanoscale dust in the interstellar clouds). By scanning the time delay between the pump and probe pulses, we identify two formation pathways corresponding to the double- and single-ionization processes, respectively. The fast double-ionization pathway, denoted as $D_2-D_2 + n\hbar\omega$ $\rightarrow D_2^+ + D + D^+ \rightarrow D_3^+ + D^+$, is induced by a single pulse with a duration of ~35 fs, where n is the number of absorbed photons of angular frequency ω . In contrast, the slow single-ionization pathway, denoted as $D_2-D_2 + n\hbar\omega \rightarrow D_2^+ + D_2 \rightarrow D_3^+ + D$, takes ~139 fs. By performing a molecular dynamics simulation, we track the reaction dynamics in time. Furthermore, by constructing a tailored two-colour femtosecond laser field, we realize control of the emission direction of the outgoing D_3^+ from the bimolecular reaction by finely tuning the relative phase between the two colours³⁰⁻³³.

Results and discussion

As illustrated in Fig. 1a, two sets of pump–probe experiments were performed to investigate the formation dynamics of D_3^+ . We only give a brief description here (see Methods for details). For the sake of simplicity, we started with a single-colour (790 nm) pump–probe experiment to clock the formation dynamics. The pump and probe pulses were polarized along the *z* and *y* axes respectively, such that the products from the pump and probe could be distinguished. The pump pulse initiated the fast and slow D_3^+ formation pathways, while the probe pulse disrupted the formation process^{11,12} and ionized the neutral D atom in the slow pathway to make it detectable. For the two-colour pump–probe experiment (pump: 790 and 395 nm; probe: 790 nm), the probe pulse was fixed at a time delay of ~1.2 ps with respect to the phase-controlled two-colour pump pulse. By scanning the relative phase between the two-colour pump pulses, we controlled the emission direction of the formed D_3^+ .

Timing the formation of D_3^+

Figure 2a shows the measured coincidence map of the two-body fragmentation channels, where the horizontal and vertical axes are the time of flight of the first and second ions, respectively. Different two-body fragmentation channels induced by Coulomb explosion could clearly be identified along the off-diagonal axis. The overwhelming signal was from the double ionization of single D₂ molecules (that is, D₂ + $n\hbar\omega \rightarrow$ D⁺ + D⁺), termed as (D⁺, D⁺) hereafter. Besides the prominent (D⁺, D⁺) channel, two fragmentation channels of (D₂⁺, D₂⁺) and (D₃⁺, D⁺) appeared only when the molecular jet was pre-cooled to produce D₂-D₂ dimers.

To understand the formation dynamics of the (D_3^+, D^+) channel, we first performed a single-colour pump–probe experiment. Figure 2b displays the kinetic energy spectrum of the (D_3^+, D^+) channel as a function of the time delay between the pump and probe pulses. Two branches, denoted as A and B hereafter, could clearly be distinguished. Branch A, with a kinetic energy peaking at -4.0 eV, showed a flat distribution that was delay independent. This high-energy channel was created from direct double ionization by the pump pulse. It was also present when only a single pulse was applied (blue curve in the left panel of Fig. 2b).



Fig. 1 | **Schematic of the experiments. a**, A supersonic gas jet of D_2 - D_2 molecular dimers was exposed to pump-probe pulses in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber of a cold target recoil ion momentum spectrometer. Two sets of pump-probe schemes were implemented. Scheme (1) was a single-colour pump-probe measurement with a continuously scanned time delay *t*, whereas scheme (2) was a phase-scanning two-colour experiment with a fixed pump-probe time delay *T*. Inset, schematic of the two-colour field for the relative phase $\phi_L = 0$ or π and the corresponding phase-dependent directional dissociative ionization of one D_2 molecule in the D_2 - D_2 dimer. TOF, time of flight. **b**, Potential energy curves of $1s\sigma_g$ and $2p\sigma_u$ of D_2^+ as a function of internuclear distance *R*. The dominating net- $2\omega_{FW}$ and $1\omega_{SH}$ dissociation pathways are illustrated with vertical red and blue arrows, representing 790 and 395 nm photons, respectively.

Interestingly, branch B showed continuously decreasing energy with an increase in the delay, indicating a joint contribution from the pump and probe pulses. Formation of the (D_3^+, D) pair was first triggered by the pump pulse and started to dissociate. The probe pulse then ionized the neutral D atom while it was separating from D_3^+ . The kinetic energy release accumulated after the probe pulse when the charged D⁺ and D_3^+ ions repelled each other. The kinetic energy released decreased with the delay as the distance between D and D₃⁺ increased. For the time-independent branch A, double ionization of the dimer occurred within the single-pulse duration of 35 fs, resulting in the formation of (D_3^+, D^+) fragments. In contrast, the low-energy branch B showed a time-dependent yield that increased with delay. This meant that the probe pulse not only ionized the neutral D atom but also interfered with the formation of D_3^+ , which may have led to three- or complete four-body fragmentation. To extract the formation time of D₃⁺ from the single-ionization pathway, we plotted the D_3^+ yield as a function of the time delay in Fig. 2c. The increase in yield clearly indicates that more D_3^+ was formed at larger delays, when the D_3^+ was stably formed to the extent that the probe pulse could not interrupt the formation process any more. By fitting with an exponential function of $y = A_0 \exp(-t/\tau) + y_0$, where A_0 is the amplitude, τ is the time constant and y_0 is the offset caused by the high-energy pathway, we obtained an effective formation time of $\tau = 139 \pm 7$ fs, as shown in Fig. 2c.



Fig. 2 | **Results of single-colour pump-probe experiments. a**, Measured photoion-photoion coincidence spectrum. The right panel shows the raw data and the left panel shows coincident channels of (D^+, D^+) , (D_2^+, D_2^+) and (D_3^+, D^+) . The centre times of flight of D^+ , D_2^+ and D_3^+ are -1,680, 2,375 and 2,910 ns, respectively. arb., arbitrary. **b**, Right, measured time-dependent kinetic energy release (KER) spectrum of the (D_3^+, D^+) channel. Left, KER distribution of the (D_3^+, D^+) channel integrated over all time delays (red colour) and the same result for a single-pulse experiment (blue colour). In the pump-probe experiments, a KER time-dependent channel denoted as branch B was observed. **c**, Yield of D_3^+ from

In the following, we analyse the reaction geometry of branches A and B. Figures 2d and 2e display the two-dimensional momentum distribution of the (D_3^+, D^+) channel in the v-z plane at different time delays, where the outer and inner rings correspond to branches A and B, respectively. The outer ring showed a pronounced anisotropy along the polarization of the pump pulse at large delays, while the inner ring was almost isotropic, as shown in Fig. 2f. The difference in angular distribution indicates that the two pathways undergo different reaction dynamics. For the fast pathway, the two electrons were simultaneously removed from two D_2 molecules constitute the dimer. If the two D_2^+ molecular ions are at the electronic ground state, this will lead to the two-body Coulomb explosion of (D_2^+, D_2^+) . Only if one of the two D_2^+ molecular ions is excited to the dissociative state can D_3^+ be formed. This can happen when the neutral D atom from the dissociation of the excited D_2^+ is flying towards the other D_2^+ (that is, $D_2 - D_2 + n\hbar\omega \rightarrow D_2^+ +$ $D + D^+ \rightarrow D_3^+ + D^+$). This scenario is supported by the strong polarization dependency of the outer ring, since dissociative ionization is strongly favoured when the molecular axis of the dissociating D_2^+ is parallel to the laser polarization³⁴.

For the slow pathway, only one electron is removed from one of the D₂ molecules within the dimer by the pump pulse. We observed that the angular distribution of branch B was almost isotropic. These two pieces of evidence indicate that the ionized D₂⁺ is in the ground state and launches the effective ion-neutral exothermic reaction of D₂-D₂ + $n\hbar\omega \rightarrow D_2^{+} + D_2 \rightarrow D_3^{+} + D$. Ionization to the non-dissociative ground state is slightly enhanced when the molecular axis is parallel to the laser polarization³⁵. This polarization dependence is finally

the (D_3^*, D^*) channel as a function of the pump-probe time delay. The data are presented as mean yields ± s.d., where the s.d. is the statistical error derived from Poisson distribution, with s.d. = $\sqrt{N_{yield}}$. By fitting the yield curve, we extracted the formation time of D_3^* to be $\tau = 139 \pm 7$ fs. **d**,**e**, Momentum distributions of D_3^* from the (D_3^*, D^*) channel at time delays of 600 (**d**) and 2,000 fs (**e**). **f**, Angular distribution of the (D_3^*, D^*) channel within a time delay interval of 1.500–2,000 fs. θ is the angle between the recoil axis and the *y* axis in the *y*-*z* plane. The outer ring (branch A) shows a pronounced anisotropy, while the inner ring (branch B) is almost isotropic.



Fig. 3 | **Potential energy surface of the T-type cationic** $(D_2-D_2)^+$ **dimer.** The blue dot in the bottom right corner denotes the equilibrium geometry of the neutral D_2-D_2 dimer. Upon Frank–Condon excitation, the nuclear dynamics evolve along the orange curve to form D_3^+ .



Fig. 4 | **Molecular dynamics simulation results.** \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{e} , Nuclear distances of the approaching D⁺ atomic ion and departing D atom with respect to the neighbouring D₂ molecule for the T- (\mathbf{a}), L- (\mathbf{b}), H- (\mathbf{c}), X- (\mathbf{d}) and Z-shaped (D₂-D₂) dimers (\mathbf{e}). \mathbf{f} , Sum of \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{e} .

averaged out due to the fast rotation of both the D_2^+ molecular ion and the D_2 molecule, of which the rotational revival is ~550 fs³⁶⁻³⁸. To this end, we have revealed that the two formation pathways of D_3^+ have different formation times and reaction dynamics.

Molecular dynamics simulation

To obtain a detailed picture of the reaction dynamics of D_3^+ formation, we performed a molecular dynamics simulation. Previous studies have shown that there are several structural configurations of the neutral D_2 - D_2 dimer³⁹. For the sake of simplicity, we first investigated reactions starting in the T-shape configuration, where two molecular axes are perpendicular to each other with the lowest energy at equilibrium. Figure 3 shows a typical reaction trajectory starting close to the equilibrium with zero initial velocity and an artificially frozen T-shape geometry. By defining two reaction coordinates of R_1 and R_2 , the potential energy surface of the T-type cationic $(D_2-D_2)^+$ dimer is shown in Fig. 3 (see Methods for computational details). The initial geometry of the neutral dimer is indicated by step 1, with $R_1 = 7.635$ atomic units (a.u.) and $R_2 = 1.390$ a.u., respectively. Upon Frank–Condon excitation, the cationic state initially around the equilibrium geometry of the neutral state is populated. Under the force field of the cationic state, the nuclear dynamics evolve along the trajectory, as shown by the orange curve. Clearly, the D_2^+ ion (one of the D_2 molecules whose electron is removed) starts to vibrate around the new equilibrium internuclear distance of $R_2 = 2.0$ a.u. At the same time, the D_2^+ ion moves to approach the neighbouring D_2 molecule. The D_3^+ ion is formed when the neutral D atom departs away at a longer time delay, denoted as step 3.

Next, we performed a more realistic on-the-fly nonadiabatic molecular dynamics simulation with a swarm of 300 nuclear trajectories

(see Methods). During the formation of D_3^+ , the D^+ ion approaches the neighbouring D₂ molecule. The other D atom departs simultaneously. Figure 4a-e shows the approaching and departing distances as a function of time for five structural configurations of T, L, H, X and Z shapes, respectively. We judged that D_3^+ was formed when the approaching distance was smaller than 4 a.u. and the departing distance was larger than 5 a.u. Statistically, 64, 79, 21, 60 and 0.7% trajectories converged to form D₃⁺ in T, L, X, Z and H shapes, respectively. Obviously, the H shape could hardly form D₃⁺, which mostly dissociated into three-body fragments of (D_2, D, D^+) . By collecting the trajectories that led to D_3^+ for the T, L, X and Z shapes, we obtained formation times of 125, 245, 200 and 100 fs, respectively. Our simulation results clearly show that the formation time of D_3^+ strongly relies on its initial structural configuration. The average formation time after considering the contribution from all of the above shapes was 150 fs, as shown in Fig. 4f. It is noticeable that, from the molecular dynamics simulation, the structure of $(D_2 - D_2)^+$ rearranges quickly due to rotation during the evolution to form (D_3^+, D) (see Supplementary Videos 1-3); thus, the probe process will not show strong polarization dependence. As a result, the experimentally measured formation time of 139 fs results from the average contribution from all possible configurations, well in agreement with the time scale predicted by our simulation.

Controlling the formation of D_3^+

After determining the intermediate products of the two pathways, we proceeded to control the bimolecular reaction by manipulating the emission direction of D_3^+ using a two-colour field as the pump pulse, as illustrated in Fig. 1a. Here the probe pulse was fixed at a time delay of 1.2 ps and only served to ionize the neutral D atom in pathway B,



Fig. 5 | **Asymmetric emission of D**₃⁺ and **D**⁺ in the (**D**₃⁺, **D**⁺) channel. **a**, Phasedependent momentum p_z distribution of D₃⁺ from the (D₃⁺, D⁺) channel, as measured in the two-colour pump-probe experiments. **b**, Phase-dependent asymmetry parameter of D₃⁺ from the (D₃⁺, D⁺) channel. **c**, Asymmetry parameter integrated over 23 a.u. $< |p_z| < 27$ a.u. **d**-**f**, As in **a**-**c**, respectively, but for the D⁺ ion from the (D₃⁺, D⁺) channel. **g**-**i**, As in **a**-**c**, respectively, but for the D⁺ ion from the

 (D^{+}, D) channel of the D_2 monomer. The one-dimensional momentum spectrum of D^{+} integrated over the laser phase is plotted in the left panel of **g**. Norm., normalized. The error bars in **c**, **f** and **i** show the s.d. propagated during calculation of the asymmetry parameter according to error propagation law, in which the initial s.d. is derived from the Poisson distribution, with s.d. = $\sqrt{N_{yield}}$.

since the formation of D₃⁺ was completed and would not be disrupted at such a long delay. Figure 5a shows the momentum p_z of D_3^+ from the (D_3^+, D^+) channel as a function of the relative phase between the two colours. It is very clear that for high-energy branch A, with $|p_z| > 20$ a.u., the D_3^+ emitting upwards $(p_z > 0)$ or downwards $(p_z < 0)$ showed strong phase-dependent modulation. At phase $\phi_1 = 0$ more D_3^+ ions were emitted downwards, whereas at phase $\phi_1 = \pi$ the D_3^+ ions were preferentially emitted upwards. In contrast, low-energy branch B showed a phase-independent distribution. This is easy to understand since the free rotation of both D_2^+ molecular ions and D_2 molecules will smear out the modulation even if the phase information is tagged upon ionization. To quantify the directional emission of D_3^+ , we define the asymmetry parameter A($|p_z|, \phi_L$) = [$N(p_{z+}, \phi_L) - N(p_{z-}, \phi_L)$]/[$N(p_{z+}, \phi_L) + N(p_{z-}, \phi_L)$], where $N(p_{z+}, \phi_L)$ (or $N(p_{z-}, \phi_L)$) is the measured D_3^+ yield for $p_z > 0$ (or $p_z < 0$) at phase ϕ_1 . Figure 5b shows the momentum phase-dependent asymmetry spectrum of D_3^+ calculated from Fig. 5a, where the phase modulation is more visible. The integrated phase-dependent asymmetry parameters for the high-energy part (23 a.u. $< |p_z| < 27$ a.u.) are displayed in Fig. 5c, where the solid curve is the fitting sine function.

To this end, we have shown that the bimolecular reaction to produce D_3^+ from a $D_2^-D_2$ dimer in the gas phase can be well controlled.

To reveal the underlying physics of the phase-dependent asymmetric emission of D_3^+ in branch A, we compared the same result for D^+ from dissociative single ionization of the D_2 monomer with that from the (D_3^+, D^+) channel, as shown in Fig. 5d-i. As discussed above, the high-energy pathway to create D_3^+ proceeds via dissociative single ionization of one of the D₂ molecules accompanied by single ionization of the other one; thus, the phase dependence of D^+ (or D_3^+) from the (D_3^+, D^+) channel should be in (or out of) phase with that for single D₂ molecules. Figure 5d-f shows the phase dependence of the emission direction of D⁺ from the (D_3^+, D^+) channel, which is opposite to that of D_3^+ due to the momentum conservation. Figure 5g shows the measured phase-dependent momen $tum p_2$ of D⁺ from dissociative single ionization of the D₂ monomer (that is, $D_2 + n\hbar\omega \rightarrow D^+ + D$), denoted as (D⁺, D). Generally, several dissociation pathways can be identified, as illustrated in Fig. 1b. As shown by the one-dimensional momentum spectrum integrated over the laser phase in Fig. 5g, the here-observed (D⁺, D) is dominated by the net- $2\omega_{FW}$ and $1\omega_{SH}$ pathways ending with protons of momentum $|p_z| > 10$ a.u., where ω_{FW} and $\omega_{\rm SH}$ represent the photon energies of the fundamental wave of 790 nm and its second harmonic of 395 nm, respectively. The asymmetric emission of D⁺ requires superposition of nuclear wave packets with the same kinetic energies but opposite parities. These wave packets are created by dissociation along two potential surfaces of $1s\sigma_{\sigma}$ (net- $2\omega_{FW}$ pathway) and $2p\sigma_{\mu}(1\omega_{SH})$ pathway). Figure 5h shows the asymmetry parameter $A(|p_z|, \phi_1)$ for the emitted protons from the interference between the net- $2\omega_{FW}$ and $1\omega_{SH}$ pathways. The interferences of theses pathways were comprehensively discussed in previous studies³⁰⁻³³. Figure 5i shows the one-dimensional phase-dependent modulation of the asymmetry of the proton emission with $|p_z| > 10$ a.u., which agrees well with that of D^+ from the (D_3^+, D^+) channel. This confirms that the formation of (D_3^+, D^+) in branch A (Fig. 2b) results from the dissociative single ionization of one of the D₂ molecules in the dimer. Thus, we can control the formation dynamics of D₃⁺ by controlling the directional bond breaking of the dissociation process.

Control over D_3^+ formation can be well reproduced using the molecular dynamics simulations. This can be achieved by populating onto different cationic states of $(D_2-D_2)^{2+}$. We employed Mulliken charge analysis to determine the responsible dicationic state of $(D_2-D_2)^{2+}$ that leads to the desired product. It was found that populating onto the ground state of $(D_2-D_2)^{2+}$ leads to the formation of D_3^+ , while populating onto the excited state leads to fragmentation of the dimer. Control over the D₃⁺ formation is thus achieved by transient charge localization facilitated by populating the two states⁴⁰. When the laser field is in phase, it leads to the formation of D_3^+ with efficiencies of 66 and 69% for the T and L shapes, respectively. When the laser field is out of phase, the formation of D₃⁺ drastically decreases to minimal levels of 0.3 and 0.6% for the T and L shapes, respectively. We note that control for the H and X types cannot be achieved since no matter which direction a D_2^+ cation dissociates in, the other D_2^+ cation is at no parity, leading to identical yields. Intuitively, D₃⁺ can only be produced when the dissociating D atom is emitting towards the neighbouring D_2^+ , which is preferable for the T and L shapes. Otherwise, it will lead to the three-body breakup into (D_2^+, D^+, D) .

Conclusion

In conclusion, we show that the D_3^+ ion can be produced from a bimolecular reaction of a D_2-D_2 dimer in the gas phase. The formation time varies for different reaction pathways. Compared with the fast double-ionization pathway occurring within 35fs, the slow single-ionization pathway takes ~139 fs. Furthermore, we achieved control over the formation dynamics of the D_3^+ ion by manipulating its emission direction using a tailored two-colour laser field. Our results are interesting not only for the field of photochemistry, but also astronomy, to understand the most fundamental light-induced bimolecular process in interstellar clouds, which was crucial for the start of the Universe.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Portfolio reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-023-01230-0.

References

- Eland, J. H. D. The origin of primary H₃⁺ ions in mass spectra. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. **10**, 1560–1562 (1996).
- Furukawa, Y., Hoshina, K., Yamanouchi, K. & Nakano, H. Ejection of triatomic hydrogen molecular ion from methanol in intense laser fields. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **414**, 117–121 (2005).
- Okino, T. et al. Ejection dynamics of hydrogen molecular ions from methanol in intense laser fields. J. Phys. B At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 39, S515–S521 (2006).

- 4. Okino, T. et al. Coincidence momentum imaging of ultrafast hydrogen migration in methanol and its isotopomers in intense laser fields. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **423**, 220–224 (2006).
- Hoshina, K., Furukawa, Y., Okino, T. & Yamanouchi, K. Efficient ejection of H₃⁺ from hydrocarbon molecules induced by ultrashort intense laser fields. *J. Chem. Phys.* **129**, 104302 (2008).
- Kraus, P. M. et al. Unusual mechanism for H₃⁺ formation from ethane as obtained by femtosecond laser pulse ionization and guantum chemical calculations. J. Chem. Phys. **134**, 114302 (2011).
- Schirmel, N., Reusch, N., Horsch, P. & Weitzel, K. M. Formation of fragment ions (H⁺, H₃⁺, CH₃⁺) from ethane in intense femtosecond laser fields—from understanding to control. *Faraday Discuss.* 163, 461–474 (2013).
- Kotsina, N., Kaziannis, S. & Kosmidis, C. Phase dependence of OD⁺, HOD⁺, and H₃⁺ ions released from the deuterated dication of methanol under ω/2ω laser field irradiation. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **380**, 34–39 (2015).
- 9. Ando, T. et al. Coherent vibrations in methanol cation probed by periodic H_3^+ ejection after double ionization. *Commun. Chem.* **1**, 7 (2018).
- Kübel, M. et al. Steering proton migration in hydrocarbons using intense few-cycle laser fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 193001 (2016).
- 11. Ekanayake, N. et al. Mechanisms and time-resolved dynamics for trihydrogen cation (H_3^+) formation from organic molecules in strong laser fields. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 4703 (2017).
- 12. Ekanayake, N. et al. H_2 roaming chemistry and the formation of H_3^+ from organic molecules in strong laser fields. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5186 (2018).
- 13. Livshits, E. et al. Time-resolving the ultrafast H_2 roaming chemistry and H_3^+ formation using extreme-ultraviolet pulses. *Commun. Chem.* **3**, 49 (2020).
- 14. Alghabra, M. S. et al. Anomalous formation of trihydrogen cations from water on nanoparticles. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 3839 (2021).
- Tennyson, J. Spectroscopy of H₃⁺: planets, chaos and the Universe. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 58, 421–476 (1995).
- Miller, S., Tennyson, J., Geballe, T. R. & Stallard, T. Thirty years of H₃⁺ astronomy. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 92, 035003 (2020).
- 17. McCall Benjamin, J. & Oka, T. H_3^+ —an ion with many talents. Science **287**, 1941–1942 (2000).
- McGuire, B. A., Asvany, O., Brünken, S. & Schlemmer, S. Laboratory spectroscopy techniques to enable observations of interstellar ion chemistry. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* 2, 402–410 (2020).
- Hogness, T. R. & Lunn, E. G. The ionization of hydrogen by electron impact as interpreted by positive ray analysis. *Phys. Rev.* 26, 44–55 (1925).
- 20. Doverspike, L. D. & Champion, R. L. Experimental investigations of ion-molecule reactions of D_2^+ with D_2 and H_2 . J. Chem. Phys. **46**, 4718–4725 (1967).
- 21. Krenos, J. R. et al. Crossed-beam study of the reactions of H_2^+ with D_2 and D_2^+ with H_2 . *Chem. Phys.* **16**, 109–116 (1976).
- Pollard, J. E., Lichtin, D. A. & Cohen, R. B. Differential cross sections for state-selected reactions in the H₂⁺+H₂ system. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **152**, 171–176 (1988).
- 23. Zhang, Y. et al. Formation of H_3^+ from ethane dication induced by electron impact. *Commun. Chem.* **3**, 160 (2020).
- De, S. et al. Formation of H₃⁺ due to intramolecular bond rearrangement in doubly charged methanol. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97, 213201 (2006).
- Jochim, B. et al. Rapid formation of H₃⁺ from ammonia and methane following 4 MeV proton impact. J. Phys. B At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 42, 091002 (2009).
- Oka, T. Observation of the infrared spectrum of H₃⁺. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 45, 531–534 (1980).

Article

- Wang, E., Ren, X. & Dorn, A. Role of the environment in quenching the production of H₃⁺ from dicationic clusters of methanol. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 103402 (2021).
- Kushawaha, R. K. & Bapat, B. Fragmentation dynamics of the methanol dication. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 463, 42–46 (2008).
- 29. Okino, T., Watanabe, A., Xu, H. & Yamanouchi, K. Two-body Coulomb explosion in methylacetylene in intense laser fields: double proton migration and proton/deuteron exchange. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **14**, 4230–4235 (2012).
- Kling, M. F. et al. Control of electron localization in molecular dissociation. Science 312, 246–248 (2006).
- Ray, D. et al. Ion-energy dependence of asymmetric dissociation of D₂ by a two-color laser field. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 223201 (2009).
- Gong, X. et al. Two-dimensional directional proton emission in dissociative ionization of H₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 203001 (2014).
- Li, H. et al. Sub-cycle directional control of the dissociative ionization of H₂ in tailored femtosecond laser fields. J. Phys. B At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 50, 172001 (2017).
- Zuo, T. & Bandrauk, A. D. Charge-resonance-enhanced ionization of diatomic molecular ions by intense lasers. *Phys. Rev. A* 52, R2511–R2514 (1995).
- Staudte, A. et al. Angular tunneling ionization probability of fixed-in-space H₂ molecules in intense laser pulses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 033004 (2009).

- Bocharova, I. A. et al. Direct Coulomb-explosion imaging of coherent nuclear dynamics induced by few-cycle laser pulses in light and heavy hydrogen. *Phys. Rev. A* 77, 053407 (2008).
- 37. Mi, Y. et al. Clocking enhanced ionization of hydrogen molecules with rotational wave packets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 173201 (2020).
- 38. Qiang, J. et al. Femtosecond rotational dynamics of D_2 molecules in superfluid helium nanodroplets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 243201 (2022).
- 39. Khan, A. et al. Visualizing the geometry of hydrogen dimers. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **11**, 2457–2463 (2020).
- 40. Ma, J. et al. Transient valence charge localization in strong-field dissociative ionization of HCl molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 183201 (2021).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

 \circledast The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2023

Article

Methods

Experimental design

The experiments were performed with ultrashort femtosecond laser pulses (35 fs; 790 nm; 10 kHz) produced from a multipass amplifier Ti:sapphire laser system. The pulses were separated into pump and probe arms via a beam splitter with an intensity ratio of 7:3 and later recombined in a Mach-Zehnder-type interferometer configuration. As depicted in Fig. 1a, in both sets of pump-probe experiments, the pump and probe pulses were linearly polarized along the z and y axes, respectively. In the single-colour pump-probe experiment, the time delay between pump and probe pulse was continuously controlled by a motorized delay stage, which scanned from 60-2,060 fs with a step of 20 fs. The peak intensities of the pump and probe pulses were estimated to be $\sim 2.0 \times 10^{14}$ W cm⁻². In the two-colour pump-probe experiment. the time delay between the pump and probe pulses was fixed at ~1.2 ps. The pump pulse was a phase-controlled linearly polarized two-colour laser pulse generated in a collinear scheme by feeding a fundamental wave pulse (790 nm; y polarized) into a 150-μm-thick β-barium borate crystal to produce the second harmonic pulse (395 nm; z polarized). The group delay between the fundamental wave and second harmonic pulse was compensated for by inserting birefringent α-barium borate crystals. The polarization of the fundamental wave pulse was rotated using a dual-wavelength wave plate to be parallel to that of the second harmonic pulse. The electric field of the linearly polarized two-colour field is expressed by $E(t) = E_{\omega}(t)\cos(\omega t) + E_{2\omega}(t)\cos(2\omega t + \phi_1)$, where $E_{\omega}(t)$ and $E_{2\omega}(t)$ are the envelope of the fundamental wave and second harmonic field and ϕ_1 is the relative phase between the fundamental wave and second harmonic components. The relative phase ϕ_1 was finely tuned by controlling the inserted thickness of a pair of fused silica wedges via a delay stage. The absolute value of ϕ_1 was calibrated by analysing the phase-dependent emission direction of C⁺ from CO molecules, which is more likely ionized when the laser field points from C to O⁴¹. The peak intensities of the fundamental wave, second harmonic and probe pulse in the interaction region were estimated to be -8.5×10^{13} , 3.9×10^{13} and 1.5×10^{14} W cm⁻², respectively.

The pump and probe laser pulses were focused onto a supersonic molecular beam using a concave mirror with a focal length of 75 mm inside the ultrahigh vacuum chamber of a cold target recoil ion momentum spectrometer^{42,43}. The gas of D_2 molecules was expanded through a 5-µm-diameter nozzle pre-cooled to 85 K with a driving pressure of 2.5 bar, generating a molecular beam composed of D₂ monomers and dimers. The nozzle was cooled using a closed-cycle cryostat and the temperature of the nozzle was controlled using a heating resistor and a temperature controller, giving rise to a stable temperature with a precision of 0.1 K. The photoionization-created ionic fragments were guided by a weak homogeneous electric field (~6 V cm⁻¹) to a time- and position-sensitive microchannel plate detector at the end of the spectrometer. We constructed the three-dimensional momenta and kinetic energies of the ions from their times of flight and positions of impact. The Coulomb explosion channels of the multiply ionized dimer were unambiguously identified based on the momentum conservation of the measured ionic fragments.

Molecular dynamics simulation

Computational simulations were carried out to investigate the dynamics during the chemical reactions, which provided the time-resolved information of the bond formation and bond cleavage. In agreement with the literature, we found a few stable configurations of the neutral D_2 dimer. To obtain a list of these stable configurations, we randomly placed the four D atoms in space and relaxed the geometry using the OpenMolcas package⁴⁴. We found stable configurations including the T, L, H, Z and X types, in line with recent experiments³⁹. The potential energy surfaces were calculated via the complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) method

at the CAS(4,4), CAS(3,4) and CAS(2,4) levels with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis for the neutral, cationic and dicationic dimer states, respectively. We used the equilibrium geometry of the neutral dimer as the starting configuration. Upon Frank-Condon excitation, the nuclear trajectory would evolve along the potential energy surface of the respective cationic or dicationic state, which was initially at the equilibrium geometry of the neutral state. In addition, for the sake of simplicity and for the purpose of illustration, Fig. 3 was obtained with the constraint that the dimer always remained at initial symmetry at all times during the reaction. In reality, this of course cannot be true. For more realistic simulations, we thus lifted such constraints. With the typical reaction trajectory in mind, we subsequently carried out an on-the-fly nonadiabatic molecular dynamics simulation with a swarm of 300 nuclear trajectories using the surface hopping including arbitrary couplings (SHARC) package^{45,46}. The initial conditions of the trajectories were sampled with the Wigner distribution^{47,48}, which allowed setting of the initial coordinate around the equilibrium geometry with an initial distribution of velocity according to the oscillation frequency around the equilibrium geometry of the neutral dimer. Nuclear coordinates were then evolved along the CASSCF-calculated energy profile with a time step of 0.5 fs during 1 ps SHARC simulations. To solve the over-coherence problem, we applied the local diabatization algorithm and energy-based decoherence correction during propagation of the wave function^{49,50}. Then, we collected the trajectories that led to the formation of D_3^+ and analysed their corresponding formation times.

Data availability

The data that support the plots within this article are available from the Zenodo database at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7807812.

Code availability

The code that supports the plots within this article is available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

References

- Wu, J. et al. Comparison of dissociative ionization of H₂, N₂, Ar₂, and CO by elliptically polarized two-color pulses. *Phys. Rev. A* 87, 023406 (2013).
- 42. Dörner, R. et al. Cold target recoil ion momentum spectroscopy: a 'momentum microscope' to view atomic collision dynamics. *Phys. Rep.* **330**, 95–192 (2000).
- 43. Ullrich, J. et al. Recoil-ion and electron momentum spectroscopy: reaction-microscopes. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **66**, 1463–1545 (2003).
- 44. Fdez. Galván, I. et al. OpenMolcas: from source code to insight. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **15**, 5925–5964 (2019).
- 45. Mai, S. et al. SHARC2.1: surface hopping including arbitrary couplings—program package for non-adiabatic dynamics. sharc-md.org (2019).
- Mai, S., Marquetand, P. & González, L. Nonadiabatic dynamics: the SHARC approach. WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci. 8, e1370 (2018).
- Dahl, J. P. & Springborg, M. The Morse oscillator in position space, momentum space, and phase space. J. Chem. Phys. 88, 4535–4547 (1988).
- Schinke, R. Photodissociation Dynamics: Spectroscopy and Fragmentation of Small Polyatomic Molecules (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993).
- 49. Granucci, G., Persico, M. & Toniolo, A. Direct semiclassical simulation of photochemical processes with semiempirical wave functions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **114**, 10608–10615 (2001).
- Granucci, G., Persico, M. & Zoccante, A. Including quantum decoherence in surface hopping. J. Chem. Phys. 133, 134111 (2010).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (grant number 2018YFA0306303 to J. Wu), National Natural Science Fund (grants numbers 11834004, 12241407 and 12227807 to J. Wu, 12174109 to P.L., 92050105 to K.L., 92150105 to H.N. and 22173017 to J. Wen) and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (20JC1414900 to M.Z. and 21ZR1420100 to H.N.). K.L. acknowledges support from the Alexander Von Humboldt Foundation. R.D. acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

Author contributions

L.Z., Z.J., J.Q., W.J., P.L., K.L. and J. Wu contributed to the experiments. H.N., J. Wen and M.Z. performed the simulation. L.Z., Z.J., W.Z., P.L., K.L., R.D. and J. Wu analysed the data. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript. K.L. and J. Wu supervised the project.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-023-01230-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Jin Wen, Kang Lin or Jian Wu.

Peer review information *Nature Chemistry* thanks Marcos Dantus and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.